



# **Implementation of Coinstructing Tool**

### Background on the development of the coinstructing tool

- Goal was to create **indicators** of what **coinstructing** looks like when done well & leads to enhanced student learning
- Tool was developed by examining research on coinstructing, viewing clips of cotaught lessons, & eliciting the thoughts of coteachers & teacher
  educators
- Tool was revised based on feedback from teacher educators & coteaching researchers

## How the coinstructing tool could be used

- Coteaching facilitators could use the tool in workshops/trainings to operationalize coinstructing & make coinstructing more concrete
- Coteaching pairs could use the tool for self-assessment/reflection purposes in order to enhance coinstructing implementation
- University supervisors could use the tool to provide targeted coteaching feedback/support, providing suggestions on what coinstructing might look like & how it could be enhanced

#### Additional notes on use

- The tool is not meant to be evaluative, rather educative & a starting point for reflection & conversation
- The tool is meant to be used when the intention is implementing a coinstructional strategy, not when one teacher is solo teaching
  - The tool can be used when implementing any of the six coinstructional strategies (e.g., station teaching); however, it may be most useful when implementing team teaching

# Questions to consider when using the coinstructing tool

- What is the content being taught?
- What is the coinstructional strategy being used?
- Where in the program is the teacher candidate in learning how to teach? How might the stage in the program influence the level of collaboration achieved?

# The tool may allow coteachers to self-reflect & determine...

- The level of collaboration & engagement during the lesson
- Characteristics of the power dynamic including level of co-respect & shared responsibility
- Characteristics of the pair's ability to communicate throughout the lesson & the extent to which instructional decisions are based on data
- The quality of implementation of the coinstructional strategy & its impact on student learning

## **Supplemental coinstructing resources**

• <u>List of coinstructional strategies</u>





Criterion	Low-Level Utilization of Two Teachers	Medium-Level Utilization of Two Teachers	High-Level Utilization of Two Teachers
Role in Student Learning			
<ul> <li>What is each coteacher saying and doing? What are the responsibilities of each coteacher?</li> <li>Role transitions based on student need</li> <li>Implementation of coinstructional strategy</li> </ul>	One coteacher is actively engaged while the other coteacher takes a passive role; roles are inflexible and unresponsive to student need; coinstructional strategy implemented does not enhance student learning	Coteachers are engaged in the lesson with one coteacher more actively engaged; students perceive change in roles from one coteacher to the other; coinstructional strategy implemented enhances whole class student learning	Both coteachers are actively engaged with a clear role that is flexible based on student need; smooth transitions between coteachers keep students engaged; coinstructional strategy implemented enhances whole class and individual student learning
Positionality			
The extent to which both coteachers are positioned as equals in the classroom  • Authority • Respect • Parity	Coteachers use language such as "your" or "I," showing an imbalance in responsibility; a few interactions between coteachers are negative and show disrespect; students view one coteacher as a teaching assistant rather than as an equal teacher	Coteachers switch between "your/our" and "I/we" language, showing some inequity in responsibility; interactions between coteachers are friendly and respectful; students view coteachers as equals but defer to one teacher for the "final say"	Both coteachers consistently use language such as "our" and "we," showing equal responsibility; interactions between coteachers are highly respectful, serving as a model of collaboration for students; students view both coteachers as an equal and valued facilitator of learning
Communication			
Extent to which coteachers engage in teacher-to-teacher communication that leads to immediate instructional changes  • Use of huddles • Data-driven instruction • Reflective practice	Coteachers communicate to each other infrequently during the lesson; when coteachers do communicate, the conversation is not informed by student data and/or focuses primarily on logistical aspects of instruction rather than student learning	Coteachers communicate to each other during the lesson; however, the conversation is initiated and driven by one coteacher; conversations informed by student data resulting in real-time adjustments to instruction for the whole class	Both coteachers initiate communication to each other during the lesson; conversations informed by student data resulting in shared decision-making about real-time adjustments to instruction to improve student learning for the whole class and individual students