Department of Political Science CAL POLY

SENIOR PROJECT HANDBOOK



General Overview of Senior Projects

The senior project is a capstone experience required for all Cal Poly students receiving a baccalaureate degree. It integrates theory and application from across the student's undergraduate educational experiences. The senior project consists of either (1) a research paper of at least 35 pages in length, double-spaced, or (2) a hands-on research project, which also must have a substantial written component. Examples of (2) include designing a webpage, creating a study abroad program, designing an interdisciplinary class, working on an electoral campaign and keeping a strategic plan/journal, and organizing a voter registration drive.

The senior project is related to the student's field of study, future employment, and/or academic goals and is carried out under direct faculty supervision by the regular faculty (see table below): Amelia Andrews, Craig Arceneaux, Martin Battle, Chris Den Hartog, Ron Den Otter, Jennifer Denbow, Shelley Hurt, Michael Latner, Anika Leithner, Elizabeth Lowham, Matthew Moore, Jean Williams, or Ning Zhang. The precise form of a senior project is to be determined by the student and faculty advisor. We recommend working with a faculty member with whom you have taken classes and/or whose area of expertise lends itself to your project.

Faculty	Research Area
Amelia Andrews	Policy, American Gov't
Craig Arceneaux	Global Politics
Martin Battle	Global Politics/Methods
Jennifer Denbow	Law and Society
Chris Den Hartog	American Government
Ron Den Otter	Law and Society
Shelley Hurt	Global Politics
Michael Latner	American Government
Anika Leithner	Global Politics
Elizabeth Lowham	Public Policy
Matthew Moore	Political Theory
Jean Williams	American Government
Ning Zhang	Global Politics

Senior Project Requirements

To satisfy the senior project requirement, you must enroll in POLS 461 and POLS 462 (each class is two units). POLS 461 and 462 are independent study sections in which you work independently with your senior project faculty advisor. With your advisor's permission, you may spread out your senior project by taking POLS 461 one quarter and POLS 462 the next quarter.

First Steps

To begin the Senior Project process, first talk with a member of the Political Science faculty who is likely to be knowledgeable about topic(s) in which you are interested during the quarter before you plan to enroll in POLS 461. Also, be aware that different faculty members have different philosophies about how to develop a senior project. As soon as possible, set up a tentative schedule for when you will complete each part of the project.

The topic has to fall under the umbrella of "politics," but this can include an explanation of political events, phenomena, institutions, or behavior, interpretation of political text(s), analysis of judicial decisions or legal issues, or any number of domestic and foreign policy issues. For the research paper, above all, you must have a crystal-clear research question that you will investigate. You also must develop an equally clear answer to that question that you will defend in your paper. For the project, you will work closely with your advisor to develop and refine your project and approach throughout your project. The Senior Projects page has various links that are useful for exploring topics of interest and for conducting research. Keep in mind that some faculty members require you to complete the Senior Project Contract prior to beginning your senior project.

Keep in Mind...

The senior project is an opportunity to be especially creative and scholarly. Take it seriously. Begin work on it as soon as possible and stay in touch with the faculty supervisor on how your work is progressing. You are NOT a Cal Poly graduate and you do NOT receive a diploma until a senior project is completed.

Learning Outcomes

To formulate a clear research question

To formulate an equally clear answer

To defend that answer

To write clearly, concisely, and fluidly

To organize the paper effectively

To understand and synthesize the literature that is relevant to your question

To analyze carefully the data that you plan to use

To build knowledge and conduct original research

General Requirements

The total number of senior project units must be 4. Normally 30 hours of student work will be required for each unit of credit granted. Thus, a senior project should occupy approximately 120 hours from start to finish. The student is responsible for identifying costs and potential funding sources for the senior project prior to its initiation. Costly projects are discouraged. It is the student's responsibility to become informed about the university's intellectual property policy and

human subjects policy when necessary. If your project involves research on people, including interviews or polls, you must obtain clearance from the Human Subjects Committee prior to beginning work. This process can take several weeks and requires regular contact and feedback with your faculty advisor.

All senior projects must be grounded in the relevant literature and scholarly research. That means that you must do an overview of the main arguments in the field. This overview may take the form of a traditional literature review, which demonstrates that you have read much of the existing literature and understand what the major positions are, how and why people disagree, where gaps or unanswered questions lie, and where things might be headed next. A literature review is not just several short book reports put together like pieces of meat and vegetables on a skewer. Instead, it should synthesize the ideas and arguments of others to place your research in a scholarly context. While all senior projects are grounded in the relevant literature, specific projects and approaches may take a different approach to how and where the literature is integrated into the project. Please consult your faculty advisor for the details of how they expect you to ground your project in the relevant literature.

All senior projects must include some element of original research. Examples include conducting interviews, presenting original readings of primary documents, and performing your own analyses of data.

Procedural Requirements:

The faculty of the Department of Political Science has adopted the following guidelines as the minimum standards required for the successful completion of a senior project in this major. These guidelines are only procedural. We recommend that you use Strunk and White, *The Elements of Style* (most recent edition) for all submitted written material. All senior projects shall represent, in the opinion of the faculty advisor, the hours required to successfully complete a minimum of four units of work. The proposed research question of the senior project must be agreed to by the faculty member overseeing the project prior to its beginning. If these criteria are successfully met, and the project is technically correct, it shall fulfill the department's minimum requirements for graduation. The grade shall be determined by the advisor's professional evaluation of the quality of the project.

The Political Science department requires that senior projects be evaluated for compliance with ethical standards regarding the treatment of human subjects established by the University's Human Subjects Committee. If your research involves human subjects you are required to prepare and submit the Human Subjects Protocol Approval Form with the guidance of your advisor. The Human Subjects Committee must approve research involving human subjects prior to the beginning of data collection. Research involving human subjects is defined as any systematic investigation of living human subjects or human materials that is designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge. Examples of human subjects research in political science include, but are not limited to, the design and implementation of survey research, conducting focus groups, in-depth interviews, or

engaging in participant observation. For further information, see the <u>Policy for the Use of Human</u> Subjects in Research.

Senior Projects should be submitted in the format requested by the faculty advisor. Submission of the Senior Project to the Library and Digital Commons is optional. See Library for more information.

The senior project is time-intensive and may be difficult to finish in a ten-week quarter. You should begin thinking about the research question that you will address in your senior project as soon as possible. We also strongly recommend that once you begin your senior project, you arrange weekly meetings with your faculty advisor.

Suggested Timeline:

Ultimately, the timeline for the completion of your project and for when individual pieces of your senior project might be due will be established between you and your faculty adviser. Since every senior project is different and brings with it its own set of challenges and requirement, you should discuss the best option for a timely completion with your adviser when you begin your work. Below, you will find a general timeline for a 10-week and for a 20-week senior project. All deadlines are subject to your adviser's approval.

Rough Scheo	lule For a 10-Week Project
Week 0	Prior to the beginning of the quarter that you plan to start your senior project, you should have an advisor who has agreed to supervise the project. Do not wait until the first week of that quarter to find an advisor. That is too late. In addition, you should begin reading the literature that concerns your tentative topic so that you can refine your research question before the quarter begins.
Week 1	On the first day of the quarter at the latest, you should have a clearly stated research question and a description of the methods you plan to use.
Week 2	By the end of the second week, you should have identified most of the literature relevant to your project.
Week 3	Read that literature.
Week 4	By the end of the fourth week, you should have an annotated bibliography ready.
Week 5	By the end of the fifth week, you should have finished an outline of the entire project.
Week 6	Write the rough draft.
Week 7	Write the rough draft.
Week 8	Turn in a complete rough draft to your advisor.
Week 9	Revise the rough draft based on your advisor's feedback.
Week 10	Turn in the final draft no later than the Monday of final exams week unless you have made a different arrangement with your faculty advisor.

Rough Sche	dule For a 20-Week Project
	Prior to the beginning of the quarter that you plan to start your senior project, you should have
Week 0	an advisor who has agreed to supervise the project. Do not wait until the first week of that
	quarter to find an advisor. That is too late. In addition, you should begin reading the literature

	that concerns your tentative topic so that you can refine your research question before the
	quarter begins.
Week 2	On the first day of the quarter at the latest, you should have a clearly stated research question
Week Z	and a description of the methods you plan to use.
Week 4	By the end of the second week, you should have identified most of the literature relevant to
Week 4	your project.
Week 6	Read that literature.
Week 8	By the end of the fourth week, you should have an annotated bibliography ready.
Week 10	By the end of the fifth week, you should have finished an outline of the entire project.
Week 12	Write the rough draft.
Week 14	Write the rough draft.
Week 16	Turn in a complete rough draft to your advisor.
Week 18	Revise the rough draft based on your advisor's feedback.
Week 20	Turn in the final draft no later than the Monday of final exams week unless you have made a
Week 20	different arrangement with your faculty advisor.

Awards

At the annual spring dinner, the best senior project is awarded the John H. Lynn Prize for Outstanding Senior Project. There are separate awards for papers and projects. Faculty members nominate senior projects for consideration and the Faculty Awards Committee makes the final decision.

Eligibility

The awards are given in Spring quarter. Papers submitted in the preceding Spring, Summer, Fall and Winter quarters are eligible to be nominated for paper awards. Similarly, students who have been enrolled during any one of those quarters are eligible to be nominated for academic excellence/service awards.

Papers must be submitted to the committee in the same form as they were originally submitted for grading/evaluation. No subsequent changes or revisions may be made.

Past Senior Project Topics

American Government

- The Effectiveness of Negative Campaigning: Television Advertisements
- The Lesbian and Gay Rights Movement

- Immigration Policy: Immigration Reform and Employer Sanctions
- Education Policy and Educational Inequalities
- Whiteness and the Social Construction of Race
- Prison Privatization and Reform in California

Political Theory

- Are the politics of *South Park* libertarian?
- Are modern conservatives really conservatives?
- Can conservatives learn anything from Machiavelli about how to deal with having inherited a society they think isn't worth conserving?
- A philosophical investigation of eugenics for the 21st century

Law and Society

- Kelo v. City of New London: Public Use or Abuse?
- The constitutional right to same sex marriage
- Is capital punishment unconstitutional?
- Should a strict liability standard of fault be used for statutory rape?
- Is there still a need for an Equal Rights Amendment?
- Should the jury system be abolished?
- Is there a constitutional right to education?

Global Politics

- The psychological mechanisms for political radicalism
- Comparison of terrorism in post 9/11 U.S. and post-Soviet states
- Comparison of party system and legislative efficiency in U.S., U.K., Germany, and Japan
- Checks and balances in the Iranian political system
- Is capacity-building a viable solution of poverty alleviation in underdeveloped countries?

- California: The Epicenter of Eugenics in the United States.
- TRIPS: Creating International Society or Reinforcing Power Relations?
- Methane: An Acutely Undervalued Facet of Climate Change
- The Irony of World Hunger: Evaluating Causal Variables
- Assessing the Consequences of Geoengineering: Issues of Accountability in International Law
- Cultural Responses for Modernization: Child Sacrifice in Uganda
- Suppression of Falungong in China: A Multicultural Interpretation

Non-Paper Projects

- MTV's Rock the Vote Event in downtown SLO
- Educational video for students interested in entering the foreign service
- Documentary screening and panel on "Climate Refugees"
- Organization of Model United Nations for local High School students
- Development of a study abroad program
- Organization of a voter drive
- Development of an interdisciplinary course for Political Science

APPENDIX A

1. Student Name:

Senior Project Contract



SENIOR PROJECT AGREEMENT/CONTRACT

Fill in all designated areas

Submit two signed copies to your Senior Project Faculty Advisor in the Department of Political Science.

. Phone number:	
. Email:	
. Student ID number:	
. <u>Title</u> of project:	
. This Agreement/Contract, entered into between:	
Student:	
Faculty Member:	
is an agreement whereby grade and credit for (check those that apply):	
POLS 461 Senior Project I (2 units) & 462 Senior Project II (2 units) - taken together	
POLS 461 Senior Project I (2 units) & 462 Senior Project II (2 units) - spread out over consecutive quarters	' two

will be awarded in return for specified project efforts. These project efforts are set forth in this agreement.

It is agreed that all work described in this document will be completed as scheduled and within the parameters as noted below.

7. Research Question, Research Statement, or Problem Identification:

This section of the agreement will be used to "set the stage" for the project. Although this section will be brief and concise here, it must be expanded in the actual senior project. The purpose of this section in the Senior Project is to furnish the background research and information concerning relevant history, experience, state of research, and/or circumstances that provide justification for this project.

8. Describe the chosen Research Methods for the Project:

This section must be very specific. It is a description of the procedures that you will use as well as the scope of the project. Describe what will actually occur.

9. Develop the Plan and Schedule:

Indicate the steps you expect to take to accomplish the work. These steps should be identified in logical sequence, from the first through to the last, in an orderly manner. You should identify all of those tasks/steps that are significant along with time estimates and deadlines for accomplishing these tasks/steps. (Note: Normally, 30 hours of work are required for each unit of credit granted, thus a Senior Project should occupy approximately 120 hours from start to finish).

10. Is this Senior Project an	expanded version	n of a paper tl	hat was turned	in for another	course?
Yes					
No					

If the answer to #10 is Yes, please explain HOW and WHY this Senior Project expands upon the original paper. Be specific.

11. I understand that Senior Projects must be s	submitted to	the faculty advis	or. I further	understand that
submission of the Senior Project to the Library	is optional (see CPSU Library	for more inf	ormation).

12. /	Approval:	Obtain Signatures	indicating	approval of	f the	following	statements
-------	-----------	--------------------------	------------	-------------	-------	-----------	------------

<u>Student Statement:</u> I fully understand the requirements outlined in this agreement/contract and agree to meet these requirements. I further agree to request, in writing, any significant changes, which may become necessary during the course of this senior project. I understand that any such request will only become valid and a part of this Agreement/Contract if accepted by my Faculty Advisor.

student Signature:
Date:
Student Name (typed):
Faculty Member Statement: I approve this project proposal and accept the responsibilities of Faculty Advisor or this Senior Project Agreement/Contract.
Faculty Signature:
Date:
Faculty Name (typed):

APPENDIX B

Senior Project Grading Rubric

Senior Project Learning Objectives

- (1) To formulate a clear research question
- (2) To formulate an equally clear answer
- (3) To defend that answer
- (4) To write clearly, concisely, and fluidly
- (5) To organize the paper effectively
- (6) To understand and synthesize the literature that is relevant to your question
- (7) To analyze carefully the data that you plan to use
- (8) To build knowledge and conduct creative and/or original research

Objective	Superior (4)	Good (3)	Satisfactory (3)	Minimal/None (1)		
CREATIVITY AND/OR ORIGINALITY (OBJECTIVE 8)						
Creative and/or Original Approach	The research is very creative and/or original in its questions, approach, methodology, and/or conclusions. The student exhibits a superior ability to come to their own conclusions based on their research	The research contains some creative and/or original elements in its questions, approach, methodology, and/or conclusions. The student shows the ability to come to their own conclusions based on their research.	The research is not entirely creative and/or original, but shows creativity and skill in its contributions to the existing literature. The student exhibits some signs of independent thinking, but largely fails to come to their own conclusions based on their research.	The research is not creative and/or original. The student does not exhibit the ability to come to their own conclusions based on their research.		
	IG (OBJECTIVES 1, 2, 3, 5			T		
Explanation of issue/research question	Issue/problem is stated clearly and described comprehensively, delivering all information necessary for full understanding.	Issue/problem is stated, described, and clarified so that understanding is not seriously impeded by omissions.	Issue/problem is stated, but description leave some terms undefined, ambiguities unexplored, boundaries undetermined, and/or backgrounds unknown.	Issue/problem is stated without sufficient clarification or description.		

Importance of	Importance of the	Importance of	Importance of	Importance of
issue/research	issue/problem is made	issue/problem is	issue/problem is	issue/problem is
question	clear in terms of the	addressed	mentioned, but	not discussed.
1	theoretical or practical	generally, but	not further	
	contributions of the	without specific	discussed.	
	project.	discussion of		
	,	theoretical or		
		practical		
		contributions of		
		the project.		
Context and	Systematically/methodi	Identifies own and	Questions some	Shows minimal or
	cally analyzes own and	others'	assumptions;	no awareness of
	others' assumptions and	assumptions and	identifies several	assumptions
· ·	carefully evaluates the	several relevant	relevant contexts	and/or relevant
	relevance of contexts	contexts when	for issue/problem	contexts. But
	(e.g. historical,	presenting the	or positions, but	explores neither in
	political, cultural, etc.)	issue/problem or	may be more	great detail.
		relevant positions.	aware of others'	
		·	assumptions than	
			one's own (or vice	
			versa).	
Student's	The student's specific	The student's	The student's	The student's
	thesis/hypothesis	specific	specific	specific
	and/or is imaginative,	thesis/hypothesis	thesis/hypothesis	thesis/hypothesis
	taking into account the	and/or position	and/or position	and/or position is
	complexities of an	takes into account	acknowledges	stated, but is
j	issue; limits of position	the complexities	different sides of	simplistic and
	are acknowledged;	of an issue; others'	an issue.	obvious.
	others' points of view	points of view are		
	are synthesized within	acknowledged.		
	position.			
	Conclusions and	Conclusions and	Conclusions and	Conclusions and
implications,	implications are logical	implications are	implications are	implications are
,	and reflect student's	logically tied to a	logically tied to	inconsistently tied
consequences	informed evaluation and	range of	information (b/c	to the information
· ·	ability to place	information, incl.	information is	discussed and are
	evidence and	opposing	chosen to fit the	oversimplified.
	perspectives discussed	viewpoints.	desired	
l i	into priority order.		conclusion).	
LITERATURE REVIE	W (OBJECTIVE 6)			
Knowledge	Demonstrates a	Demonstrates a	Demonstrates	Demonstrates
base	thorough grasp of the	good grasp of the	adequate	inadequate
	conceptual basis,	conceptual basis,	understanding of	knowledge of
	scope, and significance	scope, and	the scope and	significance or
	of the issue/problem;	significance of the	significance of the	scope of the
1	1 / 1			
	uses terminology and	issue/problem, but	problem and its	issue/problem;

		the relevant	uses appropriate	appropriate
		information; uses terminology and	terminology and concepts.	terminology and concepts.
		concepts		
0. /		appropriately.	C'1	C**
Relevance	Only cites sources that are highly relevant to the issue/problem; identifies major schools of thought and authors in the field	Cites sources that are relevant to the issue/problem; identifies major schools of thought and authors in the field, but may miss important ones.	Cites some relevant sources and identifies related schools of thought and authors in the field, but shows significant gaps in	Cites resources that appear minimally or only generally relevant to defining the proposed issue/problem.
			the pertinent	
Scope of opinion and contrasting positions	Reveals spectrum of opinions among current researchers of this issue/problem, concisely summarizes these contrasting positions; explains own position in relation to them.	Largely reveals spectrum of opinions among current researchers of this issue/problem, summarizes them; generally addresses own	literature. Partially reveals spectrum of opinions among current researchers, but exhibits a fairly narrow focus; may not address own position in relation	Extremely narrow focus obscures the scope of opinions for the current research on this issue/problem. Own position may or may not be addressed.
		position in relation to them.	to them.	
Theoretical implications	Demonstrates thorough understanding of the theoretical implications of cited research findings; establishes connections among them or with own research.	Generally understands theoretical implications of research findings in cited literature and established connections among them or with own research.	Understand some theoretical implications cited research findings, but may fail to do so comprehensively and may or may not establish connections.	Incompletely understands the theoretical implications of cited research; does not establish connections.
Organization	Summarizes sources into schools of thought and demonstrates the relationships among them; shows how cited literature helps to define or formulate the issue/problem, question, and hypothesis	Summarizes sources into schools of thought and demonstrates relationships among them.	Identifies incomplete schools of thought and weakly demonstrates relationships among them. Literature review resembles an annotated bibliography.	Presents an annotated bibliography without clearly demonstrating the relationships among cited sources.

Length/Scope	Uses appropriate	Uses appropriate	May use	Uses incomplete
,	number of sources from	number of sources	inappropriate	number of sources
	largely peer-reviewed	from professional	number of sources;	of questionable
	professional and	and academic	may use largely	origin.
	academic sources.	sources.	non-peer reviewed	
			sources.	
	nization (OBJECTIVE 4)	T	T	T
Purpose of	Project's goals are	Project's goals are	Consistent effort	Does not
project	clearly stated, though	addressed; thesis	to address	consistently
	writer is not confined	is identifiable	project's goals;	address project's
	by them; fully controls	throughout the	thesis is generally	goals; focus shifts
	thesis throughout the	project, but may	clear, but may be	frequently and is
	project and consistently	stray off topic on	lost at times;	unaware of or fails
	considers the audience	occasion; seems	considers	to meet audience
	and meets its	aware of audience	audience, but with	expectations.
Cunthani	expectations.	expectations. Synthesizes	inconsistencies.	Droliminon
Synthesis	Synthesizes texts/ideas with expertise and	texts/ideas with	Some attempts to synthesize	Preliminary or no attempts to
	formulates a	some expertise	complex	synthesize
	sophisticated, complex	and begins to	texts/ideas, but	texts/ideas;
	discussion of the topic;	formulate a	cannot sustain the	discussion feels
	organization feels	cohesive discussion	effort; global	disorganized,
	deliberate and	of the topic; lacks	organization is	making cohesion
	compliments the topic	some	clear, but local	difficult or
		sophistication;	organization may	impossible.
		some missteps	stray.	F
		with organization.		
Support	Makes fully developed	Clear	Assertions/conclusi	Assertions/conclusi
	assertions and/or draws	assertions/conclusi	ons are largely	ons are difficult to
	logical conclusions that	ons are backed up	supported by	identify and are
	are supported by the	by effective use of	evidence; some	not consistently
	evidence; consistently	evidence; some	generalities	supported by
	includes details,	errors in logic;	persist.	evidence;
	references, and	development aided		repetition
	examples that point to	by key details.		frequently takes
	the complex nature of			place of
	the topic.			development.
Style	Evidence of consistent,	Writes with	Demonstrates	Simple sentences
	deliberate, and refined	complex sentence	some stylistic	and word choice;
	stylistic presence on the	structures and	adeptness, but	paragraphs break
	page (e.g. appropriate	language;	style lacks	randomly and may
	tone, terminology,	evidence of	consistency and	lack focus.
	persuasiveness,	stylistic	refinement.	
Mechanics	transitions, etc.) The writing is near	complexity. Minor errors are	Some errors	Errors are
MECHAINCS	perfect with almost no			significant and
	periect with authost 110	present, but not	impeded reading,	detract from
				uetiact 110111

	grammatical, spelling,	too distracting;	but content is	meaning; piece
	or formatting errors.	content is clear.	generally clear.	requires significant
				editing.
Formatting	Formatting meets all	Formatting meets	Formatting meets	Disregard specific
	the specified	most of the	most specific	formatting
	requirements.	specific	requirements, but	requirements.
		requirements.	show gaps.	

^{* =} this rubric assumes that the submitted project meets the basic requirements (a 35-50 page paper or equivalent); projects not meeting those requirements will not receive a passing grade

Originality Section Score:	
Critical Thinking Section Score:	
Literature Review Section Score:	
Writing/Organization Section Score:	
TOTAL SCORE:	

Total Score:

PLEASE NOTE: The POLS faculty have agreed to use this rubric to assess senior projects. However each faculty member has discretion about how much weight to give the various sections of the rubric.