

identifier	template	Tipsheet template	facts
presenter	The bill was presented by __\$presenter. __\$presenter introduced the bill to the audience. __\$presenter was recognized to introduce the bill.	Bill presenter: __\$presenter	presenter
intro_length	The presentation was particularly \$long_intro. The presentation was rather \$long_intro - longer than the bill presentations are generally.	Bill presentation was \$long_intro (compared to average)	long_intro
bill_mentions	Bill \$bill_mentioned1 was also brought up during the bill discussion. The speakers also brought up \$bill_mentioned1 throughout the discussion. \$bill_mentioned1 was referenced in the discussion.	Other bills mentioned: \$bill_mentioned1, \$bill_mentioned2	bill_mentioned1
bill_mentions	Bill \$bill_mentioned2 was mentioned too. Another mentioned bill was \$bill_mentioned2. Other bills discussed were \$bill_mentioned1 and \$billmentioned2.		bill_mentioned2
a_to_b	After the bill was presented a discussion followed, particularly between __ \$AtoB_person1 and __ \$AtoB_person2. During the discussion, a particluar back-and-forth conversation occurred between __ \$AtoB_person1 and __ \$AtoB_person2. The audience was actively debating on the measure afterwards, for example, __ \$AtoB_person1 and __ \$AtoB_person2 ended up having a dialogue on this topic. __\$AtoB_person1 and __ \$AtoB_person2 exchanged a few lines during the discussion.	Back-and-forth discussion detected between __ \$AtoB_person1 and __ \$AtoB_person2	AtoB_person1, AtoBperson2
a_to_b_questions	__\$AtoB_questioning_side was mainly asking questions throughout the conversation. __\$AtoB_questioning_side asked some questions. __\$AtoB_questioning_side wanted some clarifications and information, asking questions. __\$AtoB_questioning_side asked many good questions. __\$AtoB_questioning_side was clearly engaged in the presentation, asking many questions during the event. There were questions from __ \$AtoB_questioning_side.	__\$AtoB_questioning_side asked questions	AtoB_questioning_side
a_to_b_questions	They appeared to be __ \$AtoB_questioner_tone about the topic. The tone appeared __ \$AtoB_questioner_tone. The tone was __ \$AtoB_questioner_tone. __\$AtoB_questioner_tone was the tone during the questioning. The tone can be described as __ \$AtoB_questioner_tone.	The tone of such questions was detected: __ \$AtoB_questioner_tone	AtoB_questioner_tone
alignment	Most of the people talking of the bill were mainly \$alignment about it. In general, the public was \$alignment about the bill. The general position of the audience towards the bill was \$alignment. Most of the audience was \$alignment about the measure. Based on their reaction, the audience was \$alignment.	Position of most general public comments: \$alignment	alignment
vote_rep_party_suf	\$rep_ayes_percentage of Republican committee members voted in favor of the motion. \$rep_ayes_percentage of Republicans voted for.		NO LONGER USED, Rolled into vote_result
vote_dem_party_suf	Among the Democratic committee members, \$dem_ayes_percentage voted for the motion. \$dem_ayes_percentage of Democratic committee members voted in favor of the motion. Democrats who voted for the bill constituted \$dem_ayes_percentage of the Democrats present.		NO LONGER USED, Rolled into vote_result
vote_result	\$num_ayes voted in favor while \$num_nays voted against the motion. \$num_abs abstained from voting. Among the Democratic committee members, \$dem_ayes_percentage voted for the motion. \$rep_ayes_percentage of Republican committee members voted in favor of the motion. Ultimately, the vote regarding the motion "\$motion" for bill \$bill_name \$vote_result. \$num_ayes voted in favor, \$num_nays voted against. \$num_abs abstained. Among the Democratic committee members, \$dem_ayes_percentage voted for the motion. \$rep_ayes_percentage of Republican committee members voted in favor of the motion. Ultimately, the vote regarding the motion "\$motion" for bill \$bill_name \$vote_result. \$num_ayes voted in favor while \$num_nays voted against the motion. \$num_abs abstained from voting. As a result, the motion "\$motion" for bill \$bill_name \$vote_result.\$unanimous		bill_name, vote_result, motion
vote_breakdown	\$num_ayes voted in favor while \$num_nays were against the motion. \$num_abs abstained from voting. \$num_ayes voted in favor, \$num_nays voted against. \$num_abs abstained.		NO LONGER USED, Rolled into vote_result
intro	In \$state on \$hearing_date_short, \$committee_name met and discussed the bill \$bill_name. The \$committee_name met on \$hearing_date_short to consider \$bill_name.	This is a meeting of the \$committee_name held on \$hearing_date_short to consider \$bill_name	state, hearing_date, committee_name, bill_name
bill_name	The official title of the bill \$bill_name is: \$bill_title." \$bill_title" was the official title of the bill under discussion. The official title of \$bill_name is rather long but starts with "\$bill_title_abbrev".	The title of the bill under discussion, \$bill_name, is \$bill_title	bill_name, bill_title
expert_testimony	__\$expert1 spoke in front of the committee during the testimony part of the meeting. Several experts were speaking in front of the committee - __ \$expert1 was one of them.	Invited expert who spoke: __ \$expert1	expert1
expert_testimony_e	__\$expert1 was one of the experts testifying \$expert1_alignment the bill. The postion of __ \$expert1 was \$expert1_alignment the bill.	__\$expert1 was \$expert1_alignment the bill	expert1, expert1_alignment
expert_testimony	Another person, __ \$expert2 was also taking part in the testimony. Also, __ \$expert2 was among the expert speakers too.	__\$expert2 spoke as an expert	expert2
expert_testimony_e	__\$expert2 belonged to the group of experts who were mainly \$expert2_alignment the bill. As for the position of __ \$expert2, they were \$expert2_alignment the bill.	__\$expert2 was \$expert2_alignment the bill	expert2, expert2_alignment
headline_attendanc	\$bill_subject_headline Bill Causes Unusually High Hearing Participation	Unusually high participation detected	bill_subject
headline_attendanc	Strong Attendance For Legislation On \$bill_subject_headline	Unusually high participation detected	bill_subject
headline_attendanc	High Turnout For Hearing On \$bill_subject_headline Bill	Unusually high participation detected	bill_subject
headline_attendanc	Busy Day For Lawmakers Considering \$bill_subject_headline	Unusually high participation detected	bill_subject

attendance	On \$hearing_date_short, the \$committee_name experienced a higher than normal speaker count during the discussion of \$bill_name. Typically, this committee draws \$committee_avg_speaker_num speakers, on this day there were \$num_speakers.	High speaker count detected: \$num_speakers (typically: \$committee_avg_speaker_num speakers)	hearing_date, committee_name, bill_name, committee_avg_speaker_num_speakers, num_speakers
attendance_plurality	Many of the speakers taking part in the meeting were \$gpp_plurality_position. There were many \$gpp_plurality_position among the speakers.	Many speakers described themselves as \$gpp_plurality_position	gpp_plurality_position
tone_analysis	__ \$sentiment_speaker taking part in the discussion made an impression of being rather \$sentiment_tone about the topic.	Tone detection: \$sentiment_tone from __ \$sentiment_speaker	sentiment_speaker, sentiment_tone
endofintro	Below is a brief summary of the discussion and its events.		
motion	After the bill was presented and discussed, the committee proceeded to voting on the motion "\$motion". Motion "\$motion" was voted on after the committee and the public were done with all the questions and disputes.	Motion that was voted on: \$motion	motion
chair	The hearing was led by __ \$chair_id as the Chairperson. The Chairperson on this meeting was __ \$chair_id. __ \$chair_id was the Chair of this committee meeting. This day the Chairperson of the meeting was __ \$chair_id.	Chairperson: __ \$chair_id	chair_id
headline_first_bill	Lawmaker's first bill heard by \$committee_name	This was the lawmaker's first bill heard by \$committee_name	committee_name, author_first_bill_id
headline_first_split	\$committee_name considers __ \$author_first_bill_id First Bill First bill of __ \$author_first_bill_id heard by	This was __ \$author_first_bill_id's first bill heard by \$committee_name	committee_name, author_first_bill_id
first_bill	This bill was __ \$author_first_bill_id's first as a \$first_bill_contribution.		
headline_parties_split	Controversial \$bill_subject_headline Bill heard by \$committee_name_short Controversial \$bill_subject	Controversial subject detected: \$bill_subject_headline	committee_name, bill_subject
headline_parties_split	Dissension Among Both Parties Regarding \$bill_subject_headline Bill	This was not a party-line vote	bill_subject, both_parties_split
parties_split_vote	Neither the Republicans nor the Democrats presented a united voice regarding \$bill_name when it came time to vote.		
headline_single_party	\$bill_subject_headline Bill Causes Split in \$split_party Party	\$split_party party vote was split	bill_subject, split_party
single_party_split	The \$split_party members failed to present a united voice regarding \$bill_name when it came time to		
headline_vote_against	__ \$maverick_voter Defies Party in Vote on \$bill_subject_headline Bill	__ \$maverick_voter did not vote with majority of their party	maverick_voter, bill_subject
vote_against_party	When it came time to vote __ \$maverick_voter chose to vote against the majority of the \$maverick_voter_party party. Most \$maverick_voter_party plural voted \$maverick_voter_party_pref the bill.	__ \$maverick_voter did not vote with majority of their party	
headline_vote_against	Legislator Votes Against Own Bill Regarding \$bill_subject_headline		bill_subject
headline_vote_against	Legislator __ \$author_votes_against Votes Against Own Bill		author_votes_against
vote_against_own	In a rare turn of events __ \$author_votes_against decided to vote against the bill. This is ironic since __	__ \$author_votes_against voted against the \$bill_name, but was also a \$author_against_contribution of it	
headline_generic	\$committee_name_short considers \$bill_subject_headline Bill \$bill_subject_headline discussed by \$committee_name_short \$committee_name_short meets to discuss \$bill_subject_headline Legislature examines \$bill_subject_headline \$floor_name_headline considers \$bill_subject_headline \$bill_subject_headline discussed by \$floor_name_headline \$floor_name_headline meets to discuss \$bill_subject_headline		committee_name, bill_subject
end_of_summary	Following this discussion, the committee proceeded to vote on the bill.		
subjects	The bill concerned such topics as \$subject1 \$subject2. The bill dealt with the topics of \$subject1 \$subject2. Topics like \$subject1 \$subject2 are discussed in the bill. The topic of \$subject1 was discussed in the bill.	Bill primary subject: \$subject1 Bill subjects: \$subject1, \$subject2	subject1, subject2
find_absentees	The following Legislator was absent from the hearing: \$single_absentee. \$single_absentee was absent from the hearing. They didn't interact with anyone during the hearing, nor did anyone in the hearing reference them. The following Legislators were absent from the hearing: \$multi_absentees. \$multi_absentees were absent from the hearing. They didn't interact with anyone during the hearing, nor did anyone in the hearing reference them.	Legislator \$single_absentee was absent from the hearing. They didn't interact with anyone during the hearing, nor did anyone in the hearing reference them. Legislators \$multi_absentees were absent from the hearing. They didn't interact with anyone during the hearing, nor did anyone in the hearing reference them.	single_absentee, multi_absentees
find_engagement	The following Legislator wasn't engaged in the proceedings: \$legislators_with_low_engagement_str_single. They didn't speak very often during the hearings. \$legislators_with_low_engagement_str_single had low engagement in the proceedings. They didn't speak very often during the hearings. The following Legislators weren't engaged in the proceedings: \$legislators_with_low_engagement_str_multi. They didn't speak very often during the hearings. \$legislators_with_low_engagement_str_multi had low engagement in the proceedings. They didn't speak very often during the hearings.	Legislators \$legislators_with_low_engagement_str_multi weren't engaged. They didn't speak very often during the proceedings. Legislator \$legislators_with_low_engagement_str_single wasn't engaged. They didn't speak very often during the proceedings.	legislators_with_low_engagement_str_single, legislators_with_low_engagement_str_multi

find_engagement	<p>The following Legislator was highly engaged in the proceedings: \$legislators_with_high_engagement_str_single. \$legislators_with_high_engagement_str_single had high engagement in the proceedings. They spoke much more in the proceedings than other Legislators. The following Legislators were highly engaged in the proceedings: \$legislators_with_high_engagement_str_multi. \$legislators_with_high_engagement_str_multi had high engagement in the proceedings. They spoke much more in the proceedings than other Legislators.</p>	<p>Legislators \$legislators_with_high_engagement_str_multi were highly engaged. They spoke much more often than other Legislators. Legislator \$legislators_with_high_engagement_str_single was highly engaged. They spoke much more often than other Legislators.</p>	<p>legislators_with_high_engagement_str_singl e, legislators_with_high_engagement_str_multi</p>
determine_public_c	<p>A public commenter affiliated with \$organizations_supporting_public_comments_single_str spoke in support of the bill. Public commenters affiliated with \$organizations_supporting_public_comments_multi_str spoke in support of the bill.</p>	<p>An affiliate from \$organizations_supporting_public_comments_single_str commented in support Affiliates from \$organizations_supporting_public_comments_multi_str commented in support</p>	<p>organizations_supporting_public_comments_ single_str, organizations_supporting_public_comments_ multi_str</p>
determine_public_c	<p>A public commenter affiliated with \$organizations_opposing_public_comments_single_str spoke in opposition of the bill. Public commenters affiliated with \$organizations_opposing_public_comments_multi_str spoke in opposition of the bill.</p>	<p>An affiliate from \$organizations_opposing_public_comments_single_str commented in opposition Affiliates from \$organizations_opposing_public_comments_multi_str commented in opposition</p>	<p>organizations_opposing_public_comments_s ingle_str, organizations_opposing_public_comments_ multi_str</p>