Members of the Board*:
Helen Bailey (Evaluations); Mark Borges (ASI representative); Kaila Bussert (Library); Rachel Fernflores (PHIL); Emily Fogle (CHEM); Bruno Giberti (Academic Programs & Planning); John Jasbinsek (PHYS); Aaron Keen (CSC); Gary Laver—Chair (PSY); Neal MacDougall (AGB); José Navarro (ES); Brent Nuttall (ARCE); Jesse Vestermark (Library)

Guests:
Dustin Stegner (English, Academic Senate Chair)
Andrew Morris (History, Co-Chair GETF, Chair GE Pathways)

Gary Laver, General Education Governance Board (GEGB) Chair, was unable to attend the meeting due to a conflict with the CSU Senate meeting.

Rachel Fernflores led the meeting and called it to order at 4:10 p.m.

I. Administrative Tasks

A. Minutes from January 10, 2019 were unanimously approved as submitted.

B. The GEGB discussed the Pathways work group and the positions still open for a GEGB and a University Marketing representative. The GEGB recommends inviting University Marketing to consider joining this group to understand the intricacies of the program to better help them brand and include GE in a future marketing campaign. However, the group understands if no representative is able participate at this time.

II. Work Group Progress Reports

A. Group A (Jesse Vestermark)
   Group A hasn’t met since the last update on January 10. They continue to wordsmith the document and plan on a final meeting the week of January 22.

*Absence is indicated through the strikethrough of a person’s name
B. Group B (Emily Fogle)
   Group B met the day before (January 16). Objectives and criteria for B1-B4 are in good shape. The group’s next meeting will start to think about the Upper Division course.

C. Group C (Rachel Fernflores)
   Group C is also wordsmithing the document but are considering two proposals. The first proposal presented by Debra Valencia-Laver would have one class in C1, two classes in C2, and the upper division course. The second proposal suggested by Catherine Waitinas and Karen Christian would include an area about languages other than English.
   The GEGB discussed the parameters of EO 1100-R and reiterated the fact that there cannot be a new subarea. There might be the option to expand the outcomes with a focus on languages other than English, but it cannot be its own designation.
   The GEGB also discussed the fact that the additional units Cal Poly has in its GE template (by way of the semester-to-quarter unit conversion) will be allocated by the GEGB and cannot be determined by the work group.

D. Group D
   No update as the GEGB representative (Gary Laver) was absent.

E. GE Diversity and Inclusion (José Navarro)
   The group is discussing the USCP and DLO language. The USCP does not include objectives, just criteria. The group is working on establishing objectives, too. The group is also considering how USCP is mapped to the DLOs. They are working on a resolution for the revised DLOs and hope to add it to the February Senate meeting for approval. The group is also looking particularly at the language in EO 1100-R Article 4 “Subject Area Distribution”: “Instruction approved to fulfill the following subject-area distribution requirements should recognize the contributions to knowledge and civilization that have been made by members of diverse cultural and gender groups” (EO 1100-R p. 6).
   As this is a mandate included in the executive order, the group will make sure that this task is discussed at future meetings.

F. GE Pathways
   Group is still waiting on a GEGB and University Marketing representative. As those two members are ex officio, the GEGB recommended having the first meeting with the rest of the active members and can fill in the additional members as they volunteer.
   Rachel Fernflores said that she could be available to join the group.
III. Area C In-Depth Analysis
   A. The group discussed the new template parameters and what that would look for the current Cal Poly structure.
   B. The group discussed the balance between interdisciplinary classes and classes taught by disciplinary experts and what that might mean during course renewal.
   C. The group also discussed the options between prescribing courses for students with giving students as many options as possible. Area C (4:41)

Meeting adjourned at 5:00 p.m.

-------------------------------

ACTION ITEMS

Thursday, January 24
  1. In-depth analysis of Area B.

UPCOMING MEETING SCHEDULE

Thursday, January 24
  3:30 – 5 p.m.
  10-241

GENERAL DEADLINES

January 28, 2019 – GE Area Work Group Reports Due
May 1, 2019 – Registrar shares new template with Cal Poly Faculty
Fall 2020 – Implementation of new GE template