DACC Meeting Minutes
Date: December 9, 2016
Time: 10:00 – 11:00 AM
Location: 35-319B
Cal Poly Accessibility website: http://accessibility.calpoly.edu

| Present | Debi Hill (Co-Chair), John Lee (Co-Chair), Shelly Giesmann, Blanca Martinez-Navarro, Craig Schultz, Michael Hogan, Eumi Sprague, Michele Winterfeldt, Luanne Fose |
| Absent | Georgia Wells, Kathleen McMahon, Brian Gnandt, Paul Ponciano |
| Guests | None |
| Next meeting | Winter Quarter – TBD |

**Agenda item # | Notes | Initiated by**

1 | **Proposed DACC committee name change** – replace or remove “Compliance” in favor of a word that represents a more inclusive approach to accessibility by the university (while still maintaining the charge of the committee). The following alternatives are suggested possibilities:

   1) DAIC (Disability Access and Inclusion Committee)
   - Concerns: possible confusion with OUDI and/or Inclusive Excellence Committee; “inclusion” may not be the right word – consider alternatives with similar meaning/connotation.

   2) DAAC (Disability Access Advisory Committee)
   - The campus advisory committee can be the same as the DACC as indicated in XII-B of Coded Memorandum AA-2009-27, or it can be a subcommittee of the DACC, or a separate committee altogether.

**PLAN:**
All - Continue exploration of name alternatives with goal of making decision at next meeting. Confirm appropriateness of name change with Chancellor’s Office.

2 | **Motorized scooter program for campus visitors** (continued from last meeting)
Discussed idea of providing “courtesy” scooters for visitors to Cal Poly. Key issues: who pays for them?; who maintains

| | Lee | Lee |
them; who stores and checks them out?; who gets to use them?; liability/risk management; training needed. Scooters are sometimes more accepted than wheelchairs by those who might not consider themselves “disabled.” Scooters are more effective/safer for navigating hilly campus.

**PLAN:**
John to follow-up with CSU-Long Beach (DSS director Dave Sanfilippo) to see how their scooter program is doing and to find out what challenges they’ve encountered.

### 3 Review of physical access requests
Discussion of non-working automatic door at front entrance to Administration Bldg. Main reasons for door not being fixed: 1) cost; 2) automatic door not required by law. Suggested that door be fixed or replaced as the Admin bldg is so visible, an automatic door sends a welcoming message to campus members and visitors and exemplifies university commitment to inclusivity, and it makes life easier for everyone (temporary and permanent disabilities, strollers, carts, etc). If automatic door replacement isn’t feasible, then perhaps an electric door opener with push button activation.

Briefly mentioned the non-working platform lift at Bldg 14. Craig Schultz posed idea of tracking usage of lifts, etc across campus via use of QR codes for smartphone users.

**PLAN:**
Mike Hogan will check with Michael Brennan about automatic door costs.
Craig Schultz will explore QR code idea for campus.

### 4 Form a Digital Access Subcommittee *(aka, ATI Steering Committee)*
Briefly mentioned. Cal Poly’s ATI Steering Committee has been inactive for a few years. John and Craig noted the need to resurrect this committee. Proposed “Digital Access Subcommittee” as a possible name, in the same vein as the “Physical Access Subcommittee”.

**PLAN:**
John and Craig will consider key campus members to invite.