

http://academicsenate.calpoly.edu/

#### Meeting of the Academic Senate Tuesday, March 1, 2016 UU 220, 3:10 to 5:00 pm

- I. Minutes: Approval of February 9, 2016 minutes (pp. 2-3).
- II. <u>Communication(s) and Announcement(s)</u>:
- III. Reports:
  - A. Academic Senate Chair:
  - B. President's Office:
  - C. Provost:
  - D. Vice President for Student Affairs:
  - E. Statewide Senate:
  - F. CFA:
  - G. ASI:

#### IV. Consent Agenda:

Program Name or Course Number, Title	ASCC recommendation/ Other	Academic Senate	Provost	Term Effective
GRC 453 Design Reproduction Topics in Graphic Communication (3), 3 lectures (offer course online with topic "User Experience Methodology")	Reviewed 1/21/16; additional information requested from the department. Recommended for approval 1/29/16.	On consent agenda for 3/1/16 meeting.		
M.S. Architectural Engineering (elevate program from Architectural Engineering specialization in M.S. Architecture)	Reviewed 2/4/16; additional information requested from the department. Recommended for approval 2/11/16.	On consent agenda for 3/1/16 meeting.		
M.S. Taxation (elevate program from Tax specialization in M.S. Accounting)	Reviewed 1/7/16; additional information requested from the college. Recommended for approval 2/4/16.	On consent agenda for 3/1/16 meeting.		

#### V. Special Reports:

[TIME CERTAIN 3:30 P.M.] MPP and Advancement Report by President Armstrong.

#### VI. Business Items:

- A. Resolution to Add the Function of Task Forces: Gary Laver, Academic Senate chair, second reading (p. 4).
- B. Resolution on Student Fee Referendum: M. Foroohar, Statewide Senators, H. Greenwald, Past Academic Senate Chair, and J. Hampsey, English Professor, first reading (p. 5).
- C. Resolution Requesting that Cal Poly Administration Develop an Integrated Strategic Plan: Sean Hurley, Budget and Long-Range Planning Committee chair, first reading (pp. 6-34).

#### VII. Discussion Item:

#### VIII. Adjournment:

## CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY San Luis Obispo, California 93407 ACADEMIC SENATE

#### MINUTES OF THE ACADEMIC SENATE MEETING Tuesday, February 9, 2016 UU220, 3:10 to 5:00pm

- I. Minutes: M/S/P to approve the minutes from the January 19, 2016 Academic Senate meeting.
- II. Communication(s) and Announcement(s): none.

#### III. Reports:

- A. Academic Senate Chair (Laver): A follow up e-mail has been sent to ask the Deans for their opinion on an online faculty forum.
- B. President's Office: none.
- C. **Provost (Enz Finken):** The Foundation Board held a meeting on February 5-6, 2016. The Foundation has a good Advisory Council that the Director of Athletics and Deans will make reports to. Since July 1<sup>st</sup>, the colleges have raised over 30 million dollars of their 75 million dollar goal.
- D. Vice President Student Affairs (Humphrey): The reporting line for the Director of Athletics has been moved into Student Affairs in order to increase the network of support for student athletes in the work they do outside the classroom. Leadership from Student Affairs and Academic Affairs is participating in the American Association of State Colleges and Universities Re-Imagining the First Year of College project to look at both in and out of classroom experiences that will help meet the President's graduation and retention goals.
- E. Statewide Senate (Forochar/LoCascio): Forochar reported on second reading resolutions from the last Statewide Faculty Affairs meeting. One resolution was a request to include non-tenure track instructional faculty in new faculty orientation programs. Another resolution made research, scholarship, and creative activity a line item on the CSU budget. The administration announced that they will be forming a joint task force with the Academic Senate and CFA to draft a new policy on academic freedom. LoCascio reported on a financial report that said Cal Poly raised more money in the masters granting university category than any other school in the nation. He also stated that 5.7% of all money donated was earmarked for athletics.
- F. CFA (Archer): The dates for the possible strike have been announced. All campuses will be on a weeklong strike starting on Wednesday, April 13<sup>th</sup>, 2016 until the following Tuesday.
- G. ASI Representative (Schwaegerle): A community-student mixer was held to improve the relationship students have with the community. The Board of Directors passed a resolution against Philips 66 rail plans to ship oil through San Luis Obispo. Vittorio Monteverdi, ASI Board of Directors Chairman, asked the Board of Directors to create an ad hoc committee to work on campaign finance.

#### IV. Consent Agenda:

The following item was approved by consent: ENGL 425 English Clinical Experience Seminar (2).

#### V. Special Reports;

- A. Summary of Program Review, Assessment Findings, and Actions for programs completed in 2014-2015: Mary Pederson, Associate Vice-Provost, gave an annual report on the program review, assessment findings, and actions for programs completed in 2014-2015. Link to presentation: <a href="http://content-calpoly-edu.s3.amazonaws.com/academicsenate/1/">http://content-calpoly-edu.s3.amazonaws.com/academicsenate/1/</a> presentations/2015-2016/Report-on-Program-Review-%26-Assessment 2016B.pdf
- B. University Union Referendum Overview: Vittorio Monteverdi, ASI Board of Directors Chairman, gave a report on the student referendum that will take place to increase student fees for renovations to the University Union. Link to presentation: <a href="http://content-calpoly-edu.s3.amazonaws.com/academicsenate/1/presentations/2015-2016/Academic%20Senate.pdf">http://content-calpoly-edu.s3.amazonaws.com/academicsenate/1/presentations/2015-2016/Academic%20Senate.pdf</a>

#### VI. Business Item(s):

- A. Resolution on Academic Senate Curriculum Committee Membership: Brian Self, Curriculum Committee Chair, presented a resolution that amends the Curriculum Committee's Membership in the *Bylaws of the Academic Senate* to include the Dean of Library Services or designee. M/S/P to move this to a second reading. M/S/P to approve the Resolution on Academic Senate Curriculum Committee Membership.
- B. Resolution to Add the Function of Task Force: Gary Laver, Academic Senate Chair, presented a resolution that adds the function of a task force to the *Bylaws of the Academic Senate*. This item was discussed and will return as a second reading.

#### VII. Discussion Item:

**Definition of Membership of the General Faculty in the** *Constitution of the Faculty*: The proposed changes to the definition of membership of the general faculty in the *Constitution of the Faculty* were discussed by the Senate.

VIII. Adjournment: 5:00pm

Submitted by,

Alex Ye

Academic Senate Student Assistant

#### Adopted:

#### **ACADEMIC SENATE** of CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY San Luis Obispo, CA

#### AS-\_\_-15

### RESOLUTION TO ADD THE FUNCTION OF TASK FORCES

1	RESOLVED:	That the Bylaws of the Academic Senate be amended as follows:
2		-yours of the neatenite behalf of amended as lonows.
3	VIII.	COMMITTEES
4		A. GENERAL
5		The functional integrity of the Academic Senate shall be maintained by the
6		committee process. The committee structure shall include standing committees
7		staffed by appointment or ex officio status, elected committees staffed by
8		election, and ad hoc committees or task forces staffed either by appointment or
9		election as directed by the Academic Senate Executive Committee. The
10		Executive Committee may create ad hoc committees or task forces as it deems
11		necessary for specific purposes, which, in the judgment of the Academic Senate
12		
13		Chair, cannot be handled adequately by the standing committees. Only the
14		Executive Committee is authorized to create ad hoc committees or task forces,
15		and these shall report to the Academic Senate by way of the Executive
13		Committee

Proposed by: Academic Senate Executive Committee

Date: March 11, 2015 Revised:

May 27, 2015

#### ACADEMIC SENATE

#### of

#### CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY San Luis Obispo, CA

#### AS-\_\_-16

#### RESOLUTION ON STUDENT FEE REFERENDUM

1 2 3	WHEREAS,	On February 24 and February 25 of 2016, the students at Cal Poly will be asked to vote on the Julian A. McPhee University Union Referendum; and
4 5 6	WHEREAS,	If approved, student fees would be increased by \$199 per quarter beginning approximately 2020; and
7 8 9	WHEREAS,	Setting the implementation date of 2020 for the collection of fees is unethical in that future students (not current students) will have an additional financial burden; and
11 12 13	WHEREAS,	During almost every accreditation visit, WASC has commented on the lack of diversity at Cal Poly; and
14 15 16	WHEREAS,	The increase in student fees associated with this project will almost certainly affect Cal Poly's ability to attract a more diverse student body; and
17 18	WHEREAS,	Student debt is a serious problem across the country and at Cal Poly; and
19 20 21	WHEREAS,	The increased in student fees associated with the project will adversely affect student debt; and
22 23 24	WHEREAS,	The increased in student fees associated with the project will increase the number of hours that many students will be required to work; and
25 26 27	WHEREAS,	Many studies have shown that the number of hours that students work can adversely affect student academic success; and
28 29 30	WHEREAS,	The increase in the number of hours that many students will be required to work can adversely affect time to graduation; therefore be it
31 32 33	RESOLVED:	That the Academic Senate voices its opposition to the Julian A. McPhee University Union Referendum; and be it further
34 35	RESOLVED:	That the Academic Senate recommends that President Jeffrey Armstrong not approve the Julian A. McPhee University Union Project.

Proposed by: Manzar Foroohar, Statewide Senator

Harvey Greenwald, Past Academic Senate Chair

John Hampsey, English Professor

Date: February 22, 2016

#### Adopted:

# ACADEMIC SENATE Of CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY San Luis Obispo, CA

#### AS-\_\_-15

## RESOLUTION REQUESTING THAT CAL POLY ADMINISTRATION DEVELOP AN INTEGRATED STRATEGIC PLAN

		MILGIAILD SIMILUIGI LAN
1 2 3	WHEREAS,	It is important to have a tool that communicates and facilitates where the University is headed and how it will get there; and
4 5 6	WHEREAS,	A strategic plan is one tool that can assist in communicating and facilitating the University's vision and mission; and
7 8 9	WHEREAS,	A strategic plan is a valuable tool that can guide resource decisions to efficiently achieve the University's vision and mission; and
10 11 12	WHEREAS,	A strategic plan for a university does not need to be considered a static document; and
13 14 15	WHEREAS,	An important component to all strategic plans are the goals and actions that will assist the organization to meet its mission and vision; and
16 17 18 19 20	WHEREAS,	In May 2011, the Academic Senate at Cal Poly adopted resolution AS-728-11 Resolution on the Strategic Plan, that called upon the Academic Senate to "create or instruct a committee to work collaboratively with the administration on further developing and implementing the Cal Poly strategic plan"; and
21 22 23	WHEREAS,	On June 28, 2011, President Armstrong acknowledged receipt of Senate resolution AS-728-11; and
24 25 26 27	WHEREAS,	In May 2014, Cal Poly President Jeffrey Armstrong provided the campus with a new vision statement, Vision 2022, which he developed from various campus conversations with faculty and staff; and
28 29 30 31	WHEREAS,	The last formally written strategic plan for Cal Poly was developed in 2009 for the WASC accreditation before President Armstrong developed his Vision 2022 statement; and
32 33 34	WHEREAS,	The University is currently updating its master plan and its academic plan which makes it an opportune time to update its strategic plan; and
35 36 37	WHEREAS,	The University in its Program Review process has acknowledged the importance of goals and actions with corresponding information regarding who is the responsible party that will undertake the goal/action, the priority of the

38 39 40 41	<i>9</i>	goal/action, resource implications to achieve the goal/action, the timeframe the goal/action will be completed, and important milestones towards achieving the goal/action; therefore be it
42 43 44	RESOLVED:	That the Academic Senate through this resolution demonstrates its approval of President Armstrong's Vision 2022 statement; and be it further
45 46 47 48	RESOLVED:	That the Budget and Long Range Planning Committee take the charge of working with the Administration to update Cal Poly's 2009 strategic plan to incorporate President Armstrong's Vision 2022; and be it further
49 50 51 52 53	RESOLVED:	That the Budget and Long Range Planning Committee ensures that the new strategic plan has a succinct set of specific measurable goals and actions, key performance indicators for these goals and actions, and a timeline for the goals and actions to be accomplished; and be it further
54 55 56	RESOLVED:	That Cal Poly has an updated and completed strategic plan by May 2017; and be it further
57 58 59	RESOLVED:	That the Budget and Long Range Committee is charged to work with the Administration in implementing and providing oversight to the newly developed strategic plan.

Academic Senate Budget & Long-Range Planning Committee January 21, 2016 Proposed by:

Date:

Adopted: May 3 2011

# ACADEMIC SENATE of CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY San Luis Obispo, CA

#### AS-728-11

#### RESOLUTION ON THE STRATEGIC PLAN

1 2 3		A strategic plan can be summarized as a framework to achieving the institution's long-term goals and objectives; and
4 5 6 7		The key components of a strategic plan should be composed of a vision statement, a mission statement, a set of goals to achieve the mission and vision, and a set of key performance indicators; and
8 9 10	WHEREAS,	The vision of the institution describes the overarching long-term goals of the institution; and
11 12	WHEREAS,	The mission of the institution describes why it exists; and
13 14 15	WHEREAS,	The goals in the strategic plan should be specific, measurable, and should lead to the achievement of the institution's vision and support its mission; and
16 17 18 19 20	WHEREAS,	The Academic Senate believes that a strategic plan is a necessary component to moving the University towards it long-term goals, and a strategic plan acquires operational utility when it provides a framework for collaborative decision making and institutional alignment; and
21 22 23 24 25 26	WHEREAS,	The Academic Senate strongly supports strategic planning as an essential component of institutional success and recognizes a necessary condition for a successful strategic plan is collaboration and acceptance among a broad assortment of the Cal Poly community, including the General Faculty, administration, staff and students; and
27 28 29	WHEREAS,	The vision in <u>The Cal Poly Strategic Plan – V7</u> moves Cal Poly toward becoming the premier <i>comprehensive polytechnic university</i> ; and
30 31 32 33 34	WHEREAS,	The Report of the WASC Visiting Team Capacity and Preparatory Review states that there is a need to "continue to refine their [Cal Poly's] definition of a comprehensive polytechnic university in ways that can be embraced by all members of the University," and
35 36	WHEREAS,	The Cal Poly Strategic Plan – V7 provides a framework for continuing discussion and a summary of where Cal Poly stands as an institution; and

37		
38 39	WHEREAS,	activities central to measuring Cal Poly's progress toward achieving our strategic
40		goals; and
41	n disabilitation need to some to the	1'1 compintent
42	WHEREAS,	The Cal Poly Strategic Plan - V7 proposes several decisions which are consistent
43		with maintaining and enhancing the core competencies of Cal Poly including
44		preparing whole system thinkers, increasing integration of faculty, staff and
45 46		students, Learn-By-Doing as a core pedagogy, and restoring economic vitality;
40 47		therefore be it
48	RESOLVED:	The Academic Senate endorse The Cal Poly Strategic Plan - V7 as an emerging
49	RESOLVED.	framework to provide guidance on academic operational decisions and planning
50		across Cal Poly; and be it further
51		dotoss carroly, and oc it larther
52 53	RESOLVED:	That the Academic Senate create or instruct a committee to work collaboratively with the administration on further developing and implementing the Cal Poly
54		strategic plan; and be it further
55		
56	RESOLVED:	That the Academic Senate continue to work collaboratively with the Cal Poly
57		community to further develop and enhance Cal Poly's identity as a comprehensive
58		polytechnic university; and be it further
59		1 1
60	RESOLVED:	Any key performance indicators used to measure Cal Poly's progress toward goals
61		elucidated in the strategic planning process should be specific, measurable, and
62		should be informative as to whether the institution is making progress towards its
63		identified goals.

Proposed by: WASC/Academic Senate Strategic Plan Task Force

Date: Revised: February 22 2011 April 25 2011

Revised:

May 3 2011

#### CAL POLY STRATEGIC PLAN - V7

#### STRATEGIC PLAN PURPOSE

The primary purpose of this Cal Poly strategic plan is to provide the direction and core framework for institution-wide continuous strategic planning and future initiatives. This plan together with divisional and unit, and college and department strategic planning, shall align with WASC reaccreditation and also will form the foundation for the Cal Poly capital campaign planning.

The plan articulates the Vision for Cal Poly and outlines the system for tracking progress relative to that Vision. This will include the perspectives of key stakeholder groups and be benchmarked relative to comparison institutions groups. The plan expresses the core values for the institution, individual and community, and summarizes the immediate specific strategic decisions. The process to develop action plans and strategic initiatives is outlined.

Note that in addition to the annual review of progress, the plan itself will be reviewed and updated each year as needed.

#### VERSION HISTORY

The original Version 1 of the plan was developed during fall quarter 2008 and disseminated for comment January 15, 2009. It had been built on several existing strategic planning documents including the Access To Excellence CSU plan, college strategic plans, and the reports of the 2008 strategic planning Five Working Groups discussed at the August 21, 2008 strategic planning workshop.

After extensive feedback on Version 1 during spring quarter 2009 from the campus community and external partners, Version 2 of the plan was developed. That version was presented and discussed with the President's Cabinet and university leadership, May 2009. Based on their feedback, successive Versions 3-6 were circulated among the Cal Poly leadership, central administration and college leaders. This current working draft Version 7 has been developed based on that combined feedback.

It should be noted that while the structure, form, style and expression in Version 7 differ significantly from the original Version 1, most of the core elements of the original version remain. Feedback on this current working draft Version 7 is invited.

Erling A. Smith
Vice Provost for Strategic Initiatives and Planning

#### -11-Cal Poly Strategic Plan - v7

http://www.academicaffairs.calpoly.edu/StrategicPlan/index.html

#### SUMMARY

#### VISION

- Nation's premier comprehensive polytechnic university
- Nationally recognized innovative institution
- Helping California meet future challenges in a global context

#### TRACKING PROGRESS

- We will track progress toward achieving the vision using key performance indicators
- The key performance indicators will be directly linked to the vision and connected to the different perspectives of the primary stakeholder groups
- We will measure ourselves against a comparison institutions group
- o Each year we will review our status, looking for opportunities for improvement and realignment throughout the institution
- Each year, we will review proposals for action, realigning, opportunities, initiatives and investment

#### VALUES

- Institutional 0
  - excellence, continuous improvement and renewal
  - transparency, open communications and collaboration
  - accountability, fiscal and environmental responsibility
- Individual
  - professionalism, personal responsibility, and ethical
  - lifelong learner and seeking personal excellence
  - campus citizen and team member
- Community
  - multicultural, intellectual diversity and free inquiry
  - inclusivity and excellence, mutual respect and trust
  - civic engagement, social and environmental responsibility

#### **DECISIONS**

- Enhancing differentiation
  - Continue to develop unique comprehensive polytechnic identity
  - Shift definition to all majors as "polytechnic" preparing whole-system thinker graduates
  - Increase integration and interlinking of disciplines, faculty, staff and students
  - Build on core Learn-By-Doing pedagogy to ensure all students have a comprehensive polytechnic multi-mode education
- Restoring economic viability
  - Strategically manage revenue, costs, allocation or resources, improve effectiveness and efficiency
  - Shift mix of students to increase proportion of graduate students and international students
  - Implement institution-wide vision-driven and evidence-based decision-making and continuous
  - Adopt and implement comprehensive enrollment management

#### **ACTION**

- All divisions and colleges will develop plans linked to this institutional plan and its strategic decisions.
- o Plans will be tied to the institutional Mission and Vision identifying the contributions and roles, and highlight opportunities for collaboration and partnering.
- The plans will encompass the stakeholder perspectives, incorporate Cal Poly values and use the institutional key performance indicators along with other appropriate metrics.

#### APPENDIX

11/10/09

Cal Poly Strategic Plan – v7 http://www.academicaffairs.calpoly.edu/StrategicPlan/index.html

#### VISION

Premier polytechnic, innovative institution, helping California

Cal Poly will be the nation's premier comprehensive polytechnic university, a nationally recognized innovative institution, focused to help California meet future challenges in a global context.

Questions and Answers

The Vision statement raises several strategic questions: Is this vision consistent with the Cal Poly mission? Is the vision achievable from our current position? What are the gaps between our vision, mission and our current position? Does the vision align with our preparation for WASC? Are we committed to being the best at our defined mission? Do we agree that Cal Poly is defined as a comprehensive polytechnic university with the mix of professional, STEM, humanities and social science programs that implies? Do we wish to define ourselves in terms of polytechnic colleges, polytechnic programs and/or polytechnic students? Do we accept the recommendation to expand our expectations of students to emerge from Cal Poly as whole-system thinkers? Do we continue to commit ourselves to project based learning - the emerging definition of "learn by doing"? Are we committed to transparency of process, sustainability of operations as an element of whole-system thinking, and innovation as a necessary element of continuous improvement? Do we accept that the arc of history for Cal Poly implies a continuing growth of our graduate student proportion? Do we accept the premise that resources determine size? (Does not necessarily limit growth, but focuses on how growth might be achieved rather than just hoping for state money.) Do we endorse a definition for productivity of the University as the best possible graduate per unit of resources expended?

Is this vision consistent with the Cal Poly mission?

Yes. Each of the three primary aspects of the vision statement – premier polytechnic, innovative institution and helping California – aligns and crosslinks to each of the three core aspects of the mission – teaching and learning, scholarship and research, and outreach and service – as expressed in our mission statement:

"Cal Poly fosters teaching, scholarship, and service in a learn-by-doing environment where students and faculty are partners in discovery. As a polytechnic university, Cal Poly promotes the application of theory to practice. As a comprehensive institution, Cal Poly provides a balanced education in the arts, sciences, and technology, while encouraging cross-disciplinary and co-curricular experiences. As an academic community, Cal Poly values free inquiry, cultural and intellectual diversity, mutual respect, civic engagement, and social and environmental responsibility."

However, while the mission statement describes our historic, enduring and continuing institutional purpose, the vision statement is an elevation, pointing to where we wish to go from our current position.

Is the vision achievable from our current position?

Our current position is that Cal Poly is a well-established, recognized and highly ranked institution; a comprehensive polytechnic state university, with baccalaureate and

http://www.academicaffairs.calpoly.edu/StrategicPlan/index.html

graduate level programs in science-, technology- and mathematics-based professions, and academic and professional programs in the arts and sciences. Cal Poly is known for its learn-by-doing environment and comprehensive multi-mode educational experience that prepares graduates for successful lives and careers as long-term performers and leaders in agriculture, architecture, the arts, business, education, engineering and the sciences. Cal Poly and many of our programs enjoy very high ranking. Competition for our unique Cal Poly education is extremely strong as is the demand for Cal Poly graduates because of their ready-on-day-one capabilities and long-term performance and leadership. Cal Poly contributes significantly to the economy and well-being of California. Clearly, our current position is on the trajectory towards achieving the vision.

What are the gaps between our vision, mission and our current position?

The vision calls us to be the premier comprehensive polytechnic university. Cal Poly graduates must be second to none. The total educational environment and experience we provide must enable the growth and learning of our students so they emerge as premier graduates with the skills they need for sustained future success in the challenges ahead. We must commit to ensuring our curricula and programs are the best and are continuously improving. We must ensure that the student learning we intend - as expressed in our University Learning Objectives, and program and course outcomes - is being achieved and demonstrated by robust assessment methods. In addition, we must make sure that all aspects of our support operations are focused on ensuring the progress and success of our students.

In parallel, we must commit to continuing development and expansion of our individual skills and excellence - faculty continuing their development as teachers, scholars and campus citizens, and staff and administrators continuously improving as skilled professionals and lifelong learners. Every new hire must be better than the last and even better than any one of us! Regardless of position, each of us must be dedicated to the progress and success of our students.

Meanwhile, we must continue to work hard on improving the Cal Poly learning and support infrastructure. In spite of excellent progress on the Master plan at providing many new academic buildings and residence halls during the past decade, continued progress will be far more challenging in the years immediately ahead. Many classrooms are in urgent need of renovation and upgrade. The increasing scholarly expectations on faculty have increased demand for more research laboratories, better computing facilities and an upgraded and expanded library and similar vital "common goods" of a successful university. However, we will need to be more creative and innovative, and where appropriate use technology as part of the solution to these challenges.

Does the vision align with our preparation for WASC?

Definitely. The principal theme of our WASC self-study has been "Our Polytechnic Identity" examined from different points of view including integrated student learning, the teacher-scholar model and learn-by-doing. These align and crosslink to the three principal aspects of the vision - premier polytechnic, innovative institution, and helping California. The work of all the WASC groups has contributed to the development of the strategic plan and expression of our vision.

### Cal Poly Strategic Plan - v7 http://www.academicaffairs.calpoly.edu/StrategicPlan/index.html

Are we committed to being the best at our defined mission? – creates a commitment to continuous reflection, self examination and improvement.

Yes. We have a long history of leadership in undergraduate higher education and because of the reputation we have earned we attract the highest quality student and have built a faculty and staff of the highest standing. Our unique Cal Poly mission remains relevant and central; and our graduates because of their inherent quality, abilities and skill sets they possess are ever more critical to help California meet its current and future challenges.

To continue to be the best, every year we must seek to be better than the year before, with intentional continuous reflection, examination and improvement of all we do, at both the individual and institutional levels. Indeed, the primary purpose of the strategic plan is to provide the common direction and shared core framework for continuous strategic planning and future initiatives as we seek to be even better.

Thus, we need to review all aspects of the mission and prioritize. Then, we will need to track our progress continually and benchmark ourselves against a comparison institutions group to make sure our trajectory and position is right. No single measure and no single point of view will be sufficient so we will need to monitor several – though a limited set of – quantitative progress, quality and resources indicators, balancing the different aspects and perspectives of the Cal Poly mission. Each year, we will report and score our progress, balancing the different aspects, and examine opportunities for improvements, strategic initiatives and investments.

For example, we need to pay more attention to improving the graduation rate and student progress to degree; we need to systematically listen to alumni and employers to ensure the quality of our education and graduates is always relevant and moving forward; we also need to develop ways to demonstrate and highlight faculty scholarship in its fullest sense and showcase these important contributions; and we need to continually upgrade our facilities and infrastructure.

Do we agree that Cal Poly is defined as a <u>comprehensive polytechnic university</u> with the mix of professional, STEM, humanities and social science programs that implies?

Yes. We are both a comprehensive university and a polytechnic university and these two overlapping aspects of the Cal Poly identity reinforce each other. The range of our programs provides us intellectual breadth, balance and institutional strength and is an important reason for our continued success and durability. An important arm of our strategy is to continue to enhance this competitive advantage of our institutional differentiation.

Cal Poly is a polytechnic university, one of only 12 four-year universities/campuses nationwide with "polytechnic" in their name. A feature common to most "polytechnic" institutions is a focus on programs in math-, science- and technology-based professions. Certainly this is true for Cal Poly with over 1/3 of the degrees being in the STEM fields, 3/4 of the degrees in the Professions, and 84% of our degrees in the Professions and STEM combined.

In addition, the Professions and STEM is a common unifying component of our Cal Poly identity. For example, all Cal Poly colleges have at least one program that is in the Professions, and almost all our colleges have programs that are in STEM. Further, CLA and CSM, in addition to their majors in the Professions, STEM, and other academic

Cal Poly Strategic Plan - v7
http://www.academicaffairs.calpoly.edu/StrategicPlan/index.html

disciplines, play a critical role in the foundational general education core of all our graduates.

Cal Poly is also a comprehensive university. The Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching classifies institutions by their graduate programs using four field groupings: Humanities, Social Sciences, STEM and the Professions. Carnegie identifies an institution as "comprehensive" only if it has graduate-level programs and graduates in all four Carnegie field groupings. Perhaps surprisingly only 21% of the 1213 institutions overall and only 13% of the 804 master's level institutions are in this category. Of the 12 "polytechnic" and 24 "institute of technology" four-year institutions combined only 5 are classified as comprehensive: three doctoral level research universities and two master's level universities; and only three are designated as polytechnic. We are one of only very few "comprehensive polytechnic" universities. [See the Appendix for more information on Carnegie classifications and Cal Poly and also http://www.carnegiefoundation.org/classifications/index.asp]

Do we wish to define ourselves in terms of polytechnic colleges, polytechnic programs and/or polytechnic students?

For many years, we have used the total enrollment in CAFES, CAED and CENG as our surrogate measure of how "polytechnic" we are, but that is a limiting construct and not fully representative of the broader scope of the polytechnic identity of Cal Poly today. Polytechnic universities have a significant focus on undergraduate and graduate programs – typically technology, science, or math-based – that prepare individuals for professional careers. This is certainly true of Cal Poly but we now have programs in the Professions in every college, i.e. extending well beyond our historic "polytechnic" colleges.

Regardless of their major, all Cal Poly graduates will need much more of their education to tackle the challenges of the future. Of course, they will continue to need the depth of knowledge of their discipline that we have always provided. But this depth must also be integrated with breadth, balance and literacy in technology, the arts and sciences – a comprehensive polytechnic general education. Therefore, we will need to develop our programs further to prepare all our students regardless of the major to become "comprehensive polytechnic" graduates.

Do we accept the recommendation to expand our expectations of students to emerge from Cal Poly as whole-system thinkers – implies an expansion of project based learning to highly interdisciplinary teams?

It is clear that the problems of today and the challenges of tomorrow for California and in a global context will need graduates who have depth and breadth in an integrated education and are whole-system thinkers. The challenges are many and most are complex requiring a multi-disciplinary and integrated interdisciplinary team rather than a solo individual approach.

Cal Poly graduates are valued for being "ready day one" and also being long-term high performers and typically have the characteristics needed. However, we need to ensure this is an intentional outcome and added value of the educational experience we provide. We should look at all our programs both individually and collectively to ensure that the full set of learning experiences do indeed prepare our students for the challenges of their future.

## Cal Poly Strategic Plan – v7 http://www.academicaffairs.calpoly.edu/StrategicPlan/index.html

Future Cal Poly graduates should have integrated breadth, balance and literacy in technology, the arts and sciences and depth of their total education to be whole-system thinkers and leaders. These will be important differentiators of Cal Poly graduates. They should demonstrate expertise, work effectively and productively as individuals and in multidisciplinary teams, communicate effectively, think critically, understand context, research, think creatively, make reasoned decisions, use their knowledge and skills, and engage in lifelong learning. This will be true for all our graduates regardless of major, preparing them for full and enriching lives, ready for entry into their chosen careers or advanced study and to contribute to society.

Meanwhile, each of us should model the expectations we have of our graduates, i.e. from working effectively and productively as individuals and as part of a multi-disciplinary team, to being life-long learners and whole-institution thinkers, and campus citizens, sharing a common purpose – the success of our students.

## Do we continue to commit ourselves to project based learning – the emerging definition of "learn by doing"?

We must ensure that we remain leaders and innovators in higher education pedagogy, this must be part of Cal Poly being the best. Learn-By-Doing is a core part of a Cal Poly education and a well-known part of our identity differentiating us from other institutions. LBD provides our students hands-on active learning beyond and complementing their work in the classroom and their co-curricular activities.

Like all aspects of our pedagogy, we must continue to improve and enhance LBD to intentionally mobilize higher levels of learning. Project-based learning (PBL) can be classified as a mode of LBD; and capstone projects are an example of PBL. But LBD, PBL, and capstone experiences are opportunities for a deeper, richer education to develop the whole-system thinker, comprehensive polytechnic graduate for the future. We should explore introducing these integrative experiences early in a student's time with us, perhaps as a foundational part of all our curricula.

## Are we committed to transparency of process, sustainability of operations as an element of whole-system thinking, and innovation as a necessary element of continuous improvement?

Transparency must be a fundamental Cal Poly value together with open communication, accountability, evidence-based decision-making, and continuous improvement. All of these will assist us in our strategy of restoring economic viability. This past year we have been working hard to improve access and sharing of institutional data and in easy-to-understand formats; we have also been working on improving internal communications particularly in these difficult times of budget uncertainty.

Meanwhile, Cal Poly is a leader in sustainability of operations with a well-developed process and a record of progress to continuously improve our performance. We also have expertise in sustainability as an academic and research field. Indeed, fully-developed, sustainability can embody whole-system thinking.

We need to be innovative and creative as we seek continuous improvement and renewal in our programs and in our operations. Cal Poly also has opportunity to contribute to the field of innovation, another potentially integrative theme we have expertise in and should develop further.

Cal Poly Strategic Plan - v7 http://www.academicaffairs.calpoly.edu/StrategicPlan/index.html

Do we accept that the arc of history for Cal Poly implies a continuing growth of our graduate student proportion?

Yes. Although approximately 10% of Cal Poly degrees are at the master's level, overall both graduate enrollment and its proportion have been declining slightly during the past decade; currently it is at about 5% of the total enrollment. Increasing our graduate proportion would yield many benefits.

For many of our majors, a baccalaureate degree is considered only an "entrylevel" degree and increasingly a graduate degree is considered the first "professional" degree. Indeed, several employers have moved to hiring only at the advanced degree level.

A greater proportion of graduate students would increase the heterogeneity of the campus population, increasing the presence of national and international students and enhancing the education of all. Graduate students also serve as academic role models for our undergraduates. A deeper graduate education presence would help us further develop our research and would certainly enhance our national and international reputation. It would also support faculty in becoming teacher-scholars.

We would have to identify strategic opportunities for growth in areas where we have strength and reputation, and can build on our existing infrastructure. Note that we do have some competitive advantage of having made only a limited investment in graduate programs so far and thus we have the opportunity to be selective, creative and agile.

Do we accept the premise that resources determine size? (Does not necessarily limit growth, but focuses on how growth might be achieved rather than just hoping for state money.)

As part of our strategy to restore economic viability, we need to decouple our institutional size from the state allocation as much as is feasible. For example, the Cal Poly Plan and the College-Based Fee recognize our unique and different mission and higher cost and quality of the education we provide. We need to carefully steward and manage all our resources, continually look for ways to streamline our activities without sacrificing Cal Poly quality.

We also need to explore expanding non-state revenue sources, again without sacrificing quality. Examples include out-of-state and international students as an increasing proportion of our students, licensing intellectual property; increased grants income and continuously growing philanthropy.

We should build on our core strengths and competitive advantages wherever possible, have a sound business plan and monitor returns on such investments.

Do we endorse a definition for productivity of the University as the best possible graduate per unit of resources expended?

This expresses the value that Cal Poly has always provided. We know our graduates are among the best – we must maintain and continue to improve their quality. We must look toward ensuring more of our students reach graduation, by facilitating progress to degree, improving year-by-year retention, as always without compromising our standards. This provides value to each individual and all students while also improving our performance and efficiency.

## Cal Poly Strategic Plan – v7 http://www.academicaffairs.calpoly.edu/StrategicPlan/index.html

Cal Poly has a long history of being the best; we must never take that position for granted, we must earn it every year, and every year we must do better, even in these the most difficult economic times.

#### TRACKING PROGRESS

We will track progress toward achieving the vision using key performance indicators. The key performance indicators will be directly linked to the Vision and connected to the different perspectives of the primary stakeholder groups. We will measure ourselves against comparison institutions groups using target benchmark levels for the key performance indicators. Each year, we will review our status, looking for opportunities for improvement and realignment throughout the institution. Each year, proposals for action, realigning, opportunities, initiatives and investments will be reviewed. As needed, colleges, departments and administrative units will develop action plans and pursue strategic initiatives.

Use Key Performance Indicators

We will track progress toward achieving the vision using key performance indicators, measures of progress (quantitative outcomes), quality (level of service), and resources (financial, personnel and facilities.) Note that every year we will review each key performance indicators and assess continued relevancy and value. Sample key performance indicators are listed below:

PROGRESS indicators include: student success measures: graduation rates e.g. 6-year, 5-year, and 4-year, year-by-year retention rates, progress-to-degree rates, disaggregated; institutional and program rankings; demographic heterogeneity: proportion of students and employees by ethnic, gender, socio-economic, international categories; numbers of graduates, graduates in the Professions and STEM fields, and advanced degree graduates; student learning: attainment of University Learning Objectives and program and course objectives; faculty excellence: annual institutional total scholarly contributions, teacher-scholar indicator (to be developed), research grants, patents, etc.; staff excellence: % in-range progressions and awards; revenue: value and basis of endowment, annual operating revenue from all sources; and sustainability of operations: BTU/sq.ft.

QUALITY indicators include: surveys, annually of students and employees, multi-year of alumni and employers, quarterly of departing students and employees; retention rates of continuing and non-continuing students and employees; satisfaction surveys of employers with graduates' depth of knowledge and breadth of skills; and student-to-faculty ratio.

RESOURCES indicators include: expenditures per student: faculty-to-student ratio, student support staff to student ratio, enrollment capacity to student ratio, cost of instruction per graduate, expenditures per faculty: faculty support staff to faculty ratio, and development expenditures per annual gift income.

#### -19-Cal Poly Strategic Plan - v7

http://www.academicaffairs.calpoly.edu/StrategicPlan/index.html

#### KPIs Aligned to Vision

- o Premier comprehensive polytechnic university
  - Ranking and Program recognition
  - Comprehensive range of programs
  - Quality of graduate depth of knowledge and breadth of skills
  - Quality of faculty and facilities
  - Student-to-faculty ratio
  - · Retention, progress-to-degree, and graduation rates
  - Diversity and heterogeneity
  - Cost-of-attendance
  - Strategic allocation of resources
  - Annual gift and endowment growth
  - Communication of successes, achievements, awards, and economic impact

#### Nationally recognized innovative institution

- Ranking and Program recognition
- National awards
- Innovative academic and co-curricular programs
- Development of Comprehensive Polytechnic Graduate
- Quality of graduate depth of knowledge and breadth of skills
- Faculty scholarly output
- Continuous quality improvement
- Use of appropriate technology
- Sustainable practices
- Communication of successes, achievements, awards, and economic impact

#### Helping California meet future challenges in a global context

- Number and quality of graduates in areas of CA human resources need
- Quality of graduate depth of knowledge and breadth of skills
- Retention, progress-to-degree, and graduation rates
- Number and availability of jobs and employment rate of graduates
- Number of graduates going on to graduate school
- Entering student quality
- Diversity and heterogeneity
- CA intellectual property and innovation
- CA competitiveness and economic impact
- Institutional financial needs
- Communication of successes, achievements, awards, and economic impact

Include stakeholder perspectives

The KPIs will be linked to the three aspects of the vision statement: "the nation's premier comprehensive polytechnic university," "a nationally recognized innovative institution," and "focused to help meet the challenges of California in the global context."

## Cal Poly Strategic Plan - v7 http://www.academicaffairs.calpoly.edu/StrategicPlan/index.html

The four perspective groups include those of: external accountability groups such as governing bodies and accreditation agencies; our external beneficiaries such as potential, continuing and completing students, parents, employers of our graduates and research funding agencies; internal individuals such as employee professional growth and development to maintain the intellectual capital and intrinsic institutional value embodied in individual faculty, staff, management and executive personnel; and internal institutional perspectives such as those quality aspects in which we must excel namely our programs, support activities, operations, resources, and advancement.

Note that every year we will review the relevancy of each key performance indicators relative to the vision and the perspectives of stakeholder groups.

#### KPIs Aligned to Stakeholder Perspectives

- o External accountability
  - Governing Bodies

Ranking and program recognition

Comprehensive range of programs

Diversity and heterogeneity

Retention and graduation rates

Graduate attainment of learning objectives and outcomes

National awards

Continuous quality improvement

Number and quality of graduates in areas of CA human resources need

Diversity and heterogeneity

CA intellectual property and innovation

CA competitiveness and economic impact

Accreditation Agencies

Skills and abilities of graduates

Robust assessment of learning

**Programs** 

Resources – faculty, facilities and finances

Professional development and currency of faculty, staff, management and

executive

Continuous quality improvement

Entering student quality

- o External beneficiaries
  - Students

Program choice, ease of migration

Student life and satisfaction

Access to faculty

Rankings

Innovative academic and co-curricular programs

Number and availability of jobs and employment rate of graduates

Number of graduates going on to graduate school

Parents

Student-to-faculty ratio

Graduation rate (4-yr)

Cal Poly Strategic Plan - v7

http://www.academicaffairs.calpoly.edu/StrategicPlan/index.html

Cost-of-attendance

Mentoring and support, safety

Ranking and Program recognition

National awards

Number and availability of jobs and employment rate of graduates

Number of graduates going on to graduate school

Alumni

Ranking and Program recognition

National awards

Economic impact Institutional financial needs

Employers

Quality of graduate - depth of knowledge and breadth of skills

Quantity of graduates in area of need

• Research Funding Agencies

Quality of faculty and facilities

Faculty track record

Institutional support infrastructure

• San Luis Obispo

Economic impact

Environmental impact

Community impact

#### Internal individual

Faculty

Support expenditures per faculty

Satisfaction with instructional and scholarship support infrastructure

Publication and other scholarly output

Teacher-Scholar metric

Student progress-to-degree

Number of graduates going on to graduate school

Staff

In-rank progressions and professional development opportunities

Opportunities for innovation

Student progress-to-degree

Management

Resources

Opportunities for innovation

Student progress-to-degree

Executive

Ranking

Faculty, student and program national awards

Patents, licenses, and intellectual property

Number and quality of graduates in areas of CA human resources need

#### o Internal institutional

Academic Affairs

11/10/09

Cal Poly Strategic Plan – v7
http://www.academicaffairs.calpoly.edu/StrategicPlan/index.html

Retention, progress-to-degree, and graduation rates
Student-to-faculty ratio
Strategic allocation of resources
Faculty scholarly output
Development of intellectual resources
Use of appropriate technology
Development of Comprehensive Polytechnic Graduate

Quality of graduate - depth of knowledge and breadth of skills

Administration & Finance

Expanded number and amount of revenue sources Continuous quality improvement Strategic allocation of resources Use of technology as appropriate Sustainable practices

Student Affairs

Residential facilities and student life Innovative co-curricular programs Well-rounded, balanced graduates

University Advancement

Annual gift and endowment growth Communication of successes and achievements, awards, economic impact

Measure against comparison institutions

We will measure ourselves against a comparison institutions group of 4-year institutions. It should be emphasized that this group is not presented as a "peer" group or an "aspirant" group to which we aspire. While some institutions in the group may be considered peers and some may be those we aspire to emulate in some aspects, included are also institutions that could be classified as sub-peers in some or many categories and in that they may look to Cal Poly as a model to aspire to.

The comparison group was developed from three subgroups: National sample subgroup, Polytechnic and Institute of Technology subgroup, and Other Regional Competition subgroup. The National sample subgroup includes institutions from each of the six regional accreditation regions, California Postsecondary Education Commission four-region comparison institutions, and University of California and California State University systems. Criteria for inclusion in the National sample are: Carnegie categories, institutional mission and program mix, student quality and institutional selectivity, ranking, and financial aspects. Carnegie categories considered are Basic, Size and Setting, and Enrollment Profile. Institutional mission and program mix includes the proportion of the Professions to the Arts and Sciences, presence of programs in agriculture, architecture and engineering, polytechnic or institute of technology, comprehensive or STEM-focused graduate instructional program. Student quality and institutional selectivity includes mean SAT or ACT scores and acceptance rates. Ranking includes scores and percentile rank in US News and World Report category. Financial aspects include instruction budget per student and endowment yield per student.

The comparison group includes some polytechnics and institutes of technology, a coop-based university, and some regional competitors. It also includes a few institutions

Cal Poly Strategic Plan – v7
http://www.academicaffairs.calpoly.edu/StrategicPlan/index.html

recognized to be "on the move to the next level" with strategic plans successfully implemented and measured progress. Almost all institutions have graduate level programs, and most are public though some are private institutions. No single institution is like Cal Poly but the group taken as a composite contains important aspects of Cal Poly.

The preliminary 2009 comparison institutions group are shown in the table following. During fall 2009 quarter, the office of Institutional Planning and Analysis will conduct a detailed analysis of each of the candidate institutions with respect to the KPIs and stakeholder perspectives. IP&A will report on possible changes to the group that would include significantly reducing the number of institutions that we will track in future years. In addition, colleges and other units are encouraged to review the institutions from their perspective and relevancy. Similarly, note that during each and every year of the plan, and consistent with the principle of continuous improvement, we will critically review each of the institutions at a detailed level for their continued candidacy in the group.

Comparison Institutions 2009

[By Carnegie category, then by sample subgroup: national, polytechnics and institutes of technology, and other regional competition]

o Research University/Very High Activity

Cornell University

University of California, Davis

University of California, San Diego

University of Colorado - Boulder

University of Connecticut

Georgia Institute of Technology

Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute

Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University

University of California, Irvine

University of California, Santa Barbara

University of California, Santa Cruz

Washington State University

Research University/High Activity

Clemson University

Drexel University

University of Maryland – Baltimore County

Missouri University of Science and Technology

Polytechnic Institute of New York University

Doctoral Research Universities

Worcester Polytechnic Institute

Master's Level

Boise State University

Northern Kentucky University

University of North Carolina, Wilmington

University of Northern Iowa

Arizona State University Polytechnic

11/10/09

## Cal Poly Strategic Plan – v7 http://www.academicaffairs.calpoly.edu/StrategicPlan/index.html

New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology Rochester Institute of Technology Southern Polytechnic State University University of South Florida Polytechnic Campus Lakeland University of Wisconsin – Stout California State Polytechnic University – Pomona Santa Clara University

o Bachelor's Level

Bucknell University Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology

Target benchmark levels for the key performance indicators will be developed for Cal Poly relative to the comparison institutions group. For key performance indicators where external data is available, the target levels for Cal Poly will be in the upper half of the comparison institution group for all, in the upper ranks for most, and leading in several key performance indicators. Note that each year we will review the benchmark levels for continuing currency and update as needed.

#### Review our Status

Each year, we will review our status, looking for opportunities for improvement and realignment throughout the institution. Key performance indicators will be continuously monitored and reported annually for Cal Poly as a whole institution, and by college and program, division or unit. Annual action plans will be reviewed and amended as needed. Each year, proposals for action, realigning, opportunities, initiatives and investments will be reviewed. As needed, colleges, departments and administrative units will develop action plans and pursue strategic initiatives. Strategic initiatives to take advantage of new opportunities or to improve progress will be reviewed. In addition, the key performance indicators themselves along with the comparison institutions groups will be reviewed for continued appropriateness and relevancy and updated as needed.

#### **VALUES**

Institutional, individual, and community

Cal Poly is committed to the learning, progress and success of our students

- o Institutional
  - excellence, continuous improvement and renewal
  - transparency, open communications and collaboration
  - accountability, fiscal and environmental responsibility
- Individual
  - professionalism, personal responsibility, and ethical
  - lifelong learner and seeking personal excellence
  - campus citizen and team member
- Community

#### -25-Cal Poly Strategic Plan - v7 http://www.academicaffairs.calpoly.edu/StrategicPlan/index.html

- multicultural, intellectual diversity and free inquiry
- inclusivity and excellence, mutual respect and trust
- civic engagement, social and environmental responsibility

#### STRATEGIC DECISIONS

Enhancing differentiation and restoring economic viability

The key strategies to achieving the vision are those that maintain Cal Poly differentiation, leverage core competencies, and sustain competitive advantages, together with those that restore financial viability by strategically managing revenues, costs and allocation of resources. Detailed institutional action plans for proceeding with the following strategic decisions are in development. However, part of this strategic plan is that every campus unit should examine their role and contribution with respect to these initiatives.

- Cal Poly will continue to develop its unique comprehensive polytechnic university identity by emphasizing programs in the professions that are science-, technology- and mathematics-based, and academic and professional programs in the arts and sciences.
  - Maintains our institutional differentiation
  - Leverages our existing core competencies
  - Sustains our competitive advantage
- Cal Poly will define all majors as "polytechnic" having depth of expertise in the
  professional or academic discipline, and breadth, balance and literacy in
  technology, the arts and sciences, integrated seamlessly to prepare whole-systemthinker graduates.
  - Increases our institutional differentiation
  - Leverages our existing core competencies
  - Sustains our competitive advantage
  - Expands our inclusivity and strengthens sense of community and commonality
  - We will need curricula development activity
- Cal Poly programs will be more integrated to connect and interlink our disciplines, faculty, staff and students, all as partners in teaching, learning, scholarship and service, to provide a comprehensive polytechnic educational experience and common polytechnic identity.
  - Increases our institutional differentiation
  - Leverages our existing core competencies
  - Sustains our competitive advantage
  - Expands our inclusivity and strengthens sense of community, partnership and commonality
  - We will need curricula development activity

## Cal Poly Strategic Plan – v7 http://www.academicaffairs.calpoly.edu/StrategicPlan/index.html

- Cal Poly will build on its core learn-by-doing pedagogy to ensure all students have a comprehensive polytechnic multi-mode education that could include project-based, cross-disciplinary, co-curricular, multi-mode, experiential and international opportunities.
  - Increases our institutional differentiation
  - Leverages our existing core competencies
  - Sustains our competitive advantage
  - We will need curricula development activity
  - We may need review of all programs and course offerings
- Cal Poly will shift the mix of students to increase the proportion of graduate students and international students while maintaining the quality and polytechnic identity of our graduates.
  - Increases our cultural diversity, increases heterogeneity
  - Elevates our academic scholarly climate
  - Improves our economic viability
  - We will need expansion of recruitment strategies and support services
  - We may need curricula development activity
  - We will need review of all programs and course offerings
  - Offsets anticipated declining in-state K12 pool that is STEM-ready
  - Enhances global perspectives
- Cal Poly will restore institutional economic viability by strategically managing revenue, costs and allocation of resources, improving effectiveness and efficiency, while maintaining quality.
  - Improves our economic viability
  - Sustains our competitive advantage
  - We will need comprehensive management of enrollment, retention, progress and graduation, costs, and review of curricula to optimize course offerings
  - Expand the number and amount of revenue streams such as more effective use of summer quarter, on-line STEM curricula for P12 teachers, etc.
  - We will need strengthened relationships with our external partners and stakeholders
- Cal Poly will adopt and implement comprehensive enrollment management.
  - Will improve alignment and match of student to appropriate program choices
  - Will remove all institutional barriers to timely graduation
  - Will improve retention, progress-to-degree, and graduation rates, and providing value to each student by reducing their total cost
  - Will improve ability to plan course offerings, optimize schedules, and use of faculty time
  - Will need comprehensive review of curricula

#### -27-Cal Poly Strategic Plan - v7

http://www.academicaffairs.calpoly.edu/StrategicPlan/index.html

- o Cal Poly will adopt and implement institution-wide vision-driven and evidencebased decision making and continuous improvement processes.
  - Improves our economic viability by identifying opportunities to reduce costs, improve effectiveness and efficiencies
  - Continually reallocate resources to the most effective methods of increasing enrollment, retention, progress and graduation
  - Can increase agility by decreasing elapsed time for decision-making and implementation
  - Align budgets and other resources to desired achievement of mission and vision

#### ACTION PLANS AND INITIATIVES

All divisions and colleges will develop plans linked to this institutional plan and its strategic decisions. Those plans will be tied to the institutional Mission and Vision statements identifying the contributions and roles, and highlight opportunities for collaboration and partnering. The plans will encompass the stakeholder perspectives, incorporate Cal Poly values and use the institutional key performance indicators along with other metrics that are specifically appropriate. Plans, progress, initiatives and opportunities would be reviewed annually. Note that all the plans combined together with this institutional plan will form the foundation for planning the next Cal Poly capital campaign.

Cal Poly is developing its second comprehensive campaign. Extensive planning for the campaign has positioned the university advancement team to begin fundraising for the campaign in July 2010. The priorities of the campaign are in alignment with the Cal Poly Strategic Plan and include:

- Sustainable and Healthy Communities
- o Learn by Doing and the 21st Century Polytechnic Experience
- o Innovation/Leadership/Entrepreneurship

Core campus-wide fundraising priorities include:

Faculty Support: Endowed faculty positions and other faculty support mechanisms will allow Cal Poly to attract and retain the highest quality faculty in their fields and to grow existing and new centers of excellence on campus.

Academic Programmatic Support : Cal Poly's evolving curriculum demonstrates the university's emerging commitment to cross-disciplinary learning opportunities and newly emerging fields of study. Innovative curriculum and academic centers require investments in program development to maximize the intellectual capital generated throughout the academic community. Private support will augment state funding to develop leading-edge programming and ensure access to challenging learning opportunities.

Student Support: The ability to attract and retain quality students and to provide an enriched academic learning environment will help strengthen the student experience and enhance the prestige of a Cal Poly degree. This support takes the form of scholarships,

11/10/09

 $Cal\ Poly\ Strategic\ Plan-v7\\ \ http://www.academicaffairs.calpoly.edu/StrategicPlan/index.html$ 

project-based learning support, student/faculty research projects, graduate fellowships, and service learning opportunities.

Facilities/Capital Investment/Technology Support: Private support, whether solely funded or augmented with state funds, will provide critical space for students and faculty to enjoy an innovative learning and teaching environment through new construction, renovation, laboratory modernization, and information infrastructure enhancements designed to enhance student life.

Common Goods: Some activities and facilities on campus are designed to serve the whole university – all colleges, students, faculty, and staff. Without acknowledgement, they tend to be "orphans" with no direct constituency. The campaign will specifically identify them and build a fund-raising strategy around them.

Cal Poly Strategic Plan – v7
http://www.academicaffairs.calpoly.edu/StrategicPlan/index.html

#### APPENDIX

Table 1: CARNEGIE CLASSIFICATIONS

Shown for Four-year in CARNEGIE		SSIFICATION CATE			TES	-
CLASSIFICATION	Categories				Cou	.Je
TYPES		Definitions	Subcategories	Definitions	Cour	100
BASIC [1713 institutions]	Doctoral (283	Doctoral degrees >20/yr		rsity - Very High h Activity	96	T
	institutions]			iversity - High n Activity	103	
			Doctoral Rese	arch University	84	
	Master's [663 institutions]	Doctoral degrees <20/yr & Masters degrees >50/yr	Larger	Masters degrees >200/yr	345	C
			Medium	Masters degrees 100- 199/yr	190	
			Smaller	Masters degrees 50- 99/yr	128	
	Bachelor's [767 institutions]	Doctoral degrees <2	0/yr & Masters de	egrees <50/yr	767	
SIZE & SETTING	Size	Enrollment	Large	10,0000+	246	C
[1752 institutions]			Medium	3,000-9,999	434	
	1		Small	1,000-2,999	645	T
			Very Small	0-999	427	T
	Setting	% On-campus Residential (R) & %	Highly Residential	R>50% & FT>80%	609	
	1	Part-time (PT)	Primarily Residential	R=25-49%	599	CI
ROLLMENT	2/ 0		Primarily Non- Residential	R<25% or PT>50%	544	
OFILE	% Graduate & Professional	Shown for	Very High UG	G&P=0-9%	592	CI
586 institutions/	program	institutions with student body of	High UG	10-24%	526	
	1 -	baccalaureate and graduate students	Majority UG	25-49%	301	
		only.	Majority G&P	50-100%	167	ı
DERGRADUATE OFILE	% Part-time			PT>40%	176	T
19 institutions]				20-39%	376	
				0-19%	1167	CP
		Freshmen scores.  Includes only 1543	More Selective	Top fifth	360	СР
	į.	institutions with PT<40%]	Selective	Middle two- fifths	760	
L			Inclusive	-	423	
		Includes only the 116 Selective and	Low	0-20%	566	CP

## $Cal\ Poly\ Strategic\ Plan-v7\\ \ http://www.academicaffairs.calpoly.edu/StrategicPlan/index.html$

A&S-Focus A&S+P Balanced P+A&S P-Focus None Some High Education Other Hum & SS STEM All Other	P=0-19% P=20-39% P=40-59% P=60-79% P=80-100% 0% 0-49% 50%+ 41 55 13 45	160 211 506 501 183 489 823 249 96	
Balanced P+A&S P-Focus None Some High Education Other Hum & SS STEM	P=40-59% P=60-79% P=80-100% 0% 0-49% 50%+ 41 55 13 45	506 501 183 489 823 249 96	
P+A&S P-Focus None Some High Education Other Hum & SS STEM	P=60-79% P=80-100% 0% 0-49% 50%+ 41 55 13 45	501 183 489 823 249 96	
P-Focus None Some High Education Other Hum & SS STEM	P=80-100% 0% 0-49% 50%+ 41 55 13 45	183 489 823 249 96	CF
None Some High Education Other Hum & SS STEM	0% 0-49% 50%+ 41 55 13 45	489 823 249 96	CF
Some High Education Other Hum & SS STEM	0-49% 50%+ 41 55 13 45	823 249 96	CH
High Education Other Hum & SS STEM	50%+ 41 55 13 45	249 96	CI
Education Other Hum & SS STEM	41 55 13 45	96	
Other Hum & SS STEM	55 13 45		-
Hum & SS STEM	13 45	159	F
STEM	45	159	F
All Other	101		100
	101		
With Med/Vet	78	154	
ithout Med/Vet	76		
Education	77	158	
Business	43		
Other	38		
A&S	21	542	
Education	242		
Business	158		
All Other			
E	Other A&S Education Business All Other	Business         43           Other         38           A&S         21           Iducation         242           Business         158	Business     43       Other     38       A&S     21       Education     242       Business     158       All Other     121

## Cal Poly Strategic Plan - v7 http://www.academicaffairs.calpoly.edu/StrategicPlan/index.html

## Table 2: DEGREES, MAJORS, PROGRAMS & EFFORT by CARNEGIE

			ACAD	EMIC FIE	LD GROU	JPINGS			
Humanities & Social Sciences (incl Liberal Studies & Economics)	Sciences & Mathematics (incl Earth Sciences)	Computer Sciences	Engraceing. Technology	Architecture	Agnoulture	Accounting, Flusiness Admin	Education	Child Development, Graphic Corums, Graphic Des, Journalism Public Policy	Kinesiology
ARTS	S & SCIEN	ICES			PI	ROFESSIO	NS		
	26% Degrees					74% Degrees			
	25% Iajors				1	75% Majors			
	35% Progran					65% Program			
		53% Effort					Effor		
H+SS		STEM			(	OTHER PRO	OFESSIO	NS	
16%			35%				49% Degree	s	
Degree 14%	es		Degrees 42%				449	6	
Majors 19%			Majors 43			T		38% ograms	
Progra	31% Effort		Progr		40% Effort			29% Effort	
H+SS	Litore				ESSIONS	+ STEM			
16%					849		_		
Degree 14%	s				Degree 86%				
Majors					Major 81	rs		-	
19% Program					Prog				
	31% Effort			10 11 01		69% Effort			
10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%		80	% 90%	100

## $Cal\ Poly\ Strategic\ Plan-v7\\ {\it http://www.academicaffairs.calpoly.edu/StrategicPlan/index.html}$

				ACADE	MIC FIELI	DS			
Humanities a Social Science (incl Liberal Studies & Economics)	Mathemati (incl Earl Sciences	Science h			e Agriculture	Accounting, Business Admi	Education	Child Dev. Graphic Com. Graphic Des. Journalism. Public Policy	Kinescolog
	CAFE	S			CAFES			Take tone	
			CAED	CAED		1			
OCOB			OCOB			OCOB			
		CENC	CENG		1				
CLA								CLA	
CSM	CSM						CSM		CSM
ART	S & SCII	ENCES			P	ROFESSIO	NS		
	CAFES	T		Т	CAFES	T	Γ		
			CAED	CAED	1	-		-	
OCOB			OCOB	<del> </del>	-	OCOB		-	
		CENG	CENG			1 3000			
CLA					<del> </del>	<b> </b>		CLA	
CSM	CSM		1			1	CSM		CSM
H+SS		STEM			O	THER PRO	DFESSION	VS	
	CAFES		T		CAFES	1			
			CAED	CAED					
COB			OCOB			ОСОВ			
		CENG	CENG			0000			
CLA								CLA	
CSM	CSM						CSM		CSM
4+SS				PROFE	SSIONS +	STEM			
1	CAFES				CAFES	1		Т	
			CAED	CAED					
COB			OCOB			OCOB			
		CENG	CENG						
LA								CLA	
SM	CSM						CSM		CSM

Key	
Acronym	COLLEGE
CAFES	College of Agriculture, Food and Environmental Sciences
CAED	College of Architecture and Environmental Design

-33-Cal Poly Strategic Plan - v7 http://www.academicaffairs.calpoly.edu/StrategicPlan/index.html

CENG	College of Engineering
CLA	College of Liberal Arts
CSM	College of Science and Mathematics
OCOB	Orfalea College of Business

## State of California Memorandum



To:

Rachel Fernflores

Chair, Academic Senate

Date:

June 28, 2011

From:

Jeffrey D. Armstrong

President

Copies

R. Koob, P. Bailey,

D. Christy, L. Halisky,

T. Jones, E. Smith,

D. Wehner

Subject

Response to Academic Senate Resolution AS-728-11

Resolution on The Strategic Plan

This memo formally acknowledges receipt of the above-entitled Academic Senate resolution.

Please convey my appreciation to the committee members for their attention to this important matter.