CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY
San Luis Obispo, California 93407
ACADEMIC SENATE
805.756.1258

# MEETING OF THE ACADEMIC SENATE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE Tuesday, September 18,2007 <br> 01-409,3:10 to 5:00pm 

V. Business Item(s):
A. Resolution on WU Grades: Schaffner, chair ofInstruction Committee, (pp.8-9).
B. Resolution on Consolidation of Academic Senate United States Cultural Pluralism Subcommittee and Curriculum Committee: Executive Committee, . (pp. 10-12).
VI. Discussion Item(s):
A. Identifying Fairness Board chair for 2007-08.
B. Task Force on Distinguished Teaching Awards Committee: (pp. 13-18).
VII. Adjournment:

## SPECIAL CHARGES FOR 2007-2008 AS COMMITTEES

NB: existing charges are indicated in roman, deleted in strikethrough, and new in boldface. Significant charges are highlighted.

## Executive Committee

- Reform AS Constitution, Bylaws, and procedures.


## Budget and Long Range Planning

- University outcomes and creating success indicators in Spring 2006.
- Monitor proposed Capstone student housing project briority.
- Review history of committee, develop plan for ongoing consultation, and report in Winter 2008.
- Assist Provost in reviewing existing budget formulas and report to Senate in Spring 2008.
- Contribute to ongoing process of strategic planning.


## Curriculum

- Review Prior Learning Assessment Proposal from Continuing Education and report in Fall 2007.
- Review USCP requirement in light of "Diversity in the Curriculum Task Force Report" (2004) and "Preliminary NSSE Data Report" (2007); report in Fall 2007.
- Work with GE to streamline course-approval process and report in Spring 2008.
- Review proposal for MS in Agriculture and report.
- Review proposal for MS Biomedical Engineering and report.


## Distinguished Scholarship Awards

- No special charges.

Distinguished Teaching Awards

- No special charges.


## Faculty Affairs

- Review the Historical Faculty Trends Analysis report and report in ""/inter 2007.
- Faculty participation in shared governance. Report in Spring 2007.
- Review MPP hiring policies and report in Fall 2007.
- Review policies and criteria for hiring and evaluation of student employees; report in Fall 2007.
- Review policy on annual evaluation of deans and report in Winter 2008.
- Review policy on annual evaluation of department heads/chairs and report.
- Review CAP 500 and report in Spring 2007.


## Fairness

- Review proposed Academic Integrity Policy and new Academic Dishonesty Reporting Requirements in light of other related documents (CAM 684, relevant AS resolutions, Code of Students Rights and Responsibilities, Title 5 on Student Discipline) and report in Fall 2007.
- Revise Fairness Board procedures to reflect consolidation with Student Grievance Board and existence of Office of Student Rights and Responsibilities; report in Winter 2008.
- Review syllabus policy for grading procedures and report in Spring 2008.


## Grants Review

- Publicize student research grants in Fall 2007.


## Instruction

- Review the academic calendar and report in Fall 2007 (ongoing).
- Review WU policy and report in Fall 2007.
- Review Prior Learning Assessment Proposal from Continuing Education and report in Fall 2007.
- Study existing Cal Poly student evaluations in the context of CSU best practices with the intention of developing a campus policy on student evaluations; report in Spring 2008.

Research

- Work with Provost's task force to implement recommendations made in Spring 2007 and report in Spring 2008.


## Sustainability

- Organize Earth Day events (ongoing).
- Consider broad range of alternatives for sustainability in curriculum. including but not limited to graduation requirement, and report by winter 2007.
- Consider possibilities for scholarship and sustainability, including campus conference and research center, and report in 20072008.
- Organize Focus the Nation event in Spring 2008?
- Organize charrette on sustainability learning objectives and report in Winter 2008.
- Help prepare for joint conference on sustainability in Summer 2008.

Ongoing charge for all committees:

- Review AS Constitution and Bylaws, online description of committees and membership, and any other relevant material on AS website; report any changes or recommendations for changes in Fall 2007.


## With Dan Howard-Greene

- Cull list of University committees.


# CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY San Luis Obispo, California <br> ACADEMIC SENATE SENATORS <br> 2007-2008 

(by college/area)

| NAME | DEPT | OFFICE | @calpoly.edu | TERM END |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barlow, Phillip | ConstMgt | 62797 | plbarlow | 2008 |
| Clay, Gary | LandArch | 61372 | gclay | 2008 |
| DiSanto, Thomas | Arch | 61480 | tdisanto | 2009 |
| Giberti, Bruno | Arch | 62036 | bgiberti | 2008 |
| Phillips, Stephen (CH) | Arch | 65990 | sjphilli | 2009 |
| Saliklis, Edmond | ArchEngr | 67641 | esalikli | 2009 |

COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE, FOOD A DE VIRONMENTAL SCIENCES (6 representatives)

| NAME | DEPT | OFFICE | @ calpoly.edu | TERM END |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amspacher, Bill | Agribus | 65018 | wamspach | 2008 |
| Delmore, Bob | AniSci | 62254 | rdelmore | 2008 |
| Hannings, Dave (CH) | HCS | 62870 | dhanning | 2009 |
| Spiller, Bob | AniSci | 65073 | rspiller | 2008 |
| Tilley, Marcia | Agribus | 67512 | mtilley | 2009 |
| VACANCY |  |  |  | 2009 |

COLLEGE OF BUSINESS (5 representatives)

| NAME | DEPT | $\underline{\text { OFFICE }}$ | @calpoly.edu | $\underline{\text { TERM END }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Burgunder, Lee | Acctg | 61210 | Iburgund | 2009 |
| Fisher, Eric | Econ | 62964 | efisher | 2009 |
| Floyd, Barry $(\mathrm{CH})$ | Mgtmt | 66551 | bfloyd | 2008 |
| Geringer, Mike | Mgtmt | 61755 | mgeringe | 2008 |
| Olsen, Eric | IndTech | 61754 | eolsen | 2008 |


| NAME | DEPT | OFFICE | @calpoly.edu | TERM END |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gentilucci, Jim (CH) | GradStudies | 65373 | jgentilu | 2008 |
| Magnusson, Shirley | TchrEduc | 66420 | smagnuss | 2009 |

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING (7 representatives)

| NAME | DEPT | OFFICE |  | @calpoly.edu | TERM END |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DeTurris, Dianne | AeroEngr | 61515 |  | ddeturri | 2009 |
| Freed, Tali | IndEngr | 62544 |  | tfreed | 2008 |
| Harris, Jim | ElecEngr | 65708 |  | jharris | 2008 |
| Jansen, Dan | C/EEngr | 62509 |  | djansen | 2008 |
| Klisch, Stephen (Iv F\&WQ) | MechEngr | 61308 | sklisch | mliu | 2009 |
| Liu, Mei-Ling (CH) | CompSci | 66460 | Mlocasci | 2008 |  |
| LoCascio, Jim | MechEngr | 62375 | jwidmann | 2008 |  |
| Widmann, Jim | MechEngr | 67055 |  | F\&WQ |  |
| LoCascio, Jim (stwd sen) | MechEngr | 62375 |  | jlocasci | 2010 |



# ACADEMIC SENATE COMMITTEES VACANCIES 2007-2008 

## COLLEGE OF ARCHITECTURE AND ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN

Faculty Affairs Committee

ORFALEA COLLEGE OF BUSINESS

Fairness Board

## COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

## Distinguished Scholarship Award (2007-2008 term)

## Fairness Board (2007-2008 term)

Research and Professional Development Committee (2007-2008 term) Steven Kane, GrdSt/Ed

## COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

Budget and Long Range Planning Committee
Distinguished Scholarship Award Committee

## PROFESSIONAL CONSULTATIVE SERVICES

Curriculum Committee
Instruction Committee
Re ea"cb and Profes ional Development Committee (2007.2008 term)
Sustainability Committee

## ACADEMIC SENATE COMMITTEE CHAIR

Fairness Board

## UNIVERSITY-WIDE COMMITTEES

Deans Admissions Advisory Committee
(2 Representatives/1 Vacancy - must serve on the Budget \& Long-Range Planning Committee)
Health Services Oversight Committee
(I Representative/1 Vacancy - also serves on the Student Health Advisory Committee)
Information Resource Management Policy and Planning Committee
(3 Representatives, 1 Vacancy)Steve Rein, Stats
Intellectual Property Review Committee
(Must be member ofPCS, 2007-2010 term)
Student Health Advisory Committee
(I Representative/1 Vacancy - also serves on the Health Services Oversight Committee)
University Union Advisory Board
(I Representative, 1 Vacancy)
Brian Kennelly, ModL\&L

# ACADEMIC SENATE OF <br> CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY San Luis Obispo, California 

AS- -07/
RESOLUTION ON WU GRADES
WHEREAS, CSU Executive Order 792 provides guidelines for the use of the WU grade, but lacks sufficient detail to prescribe consistent application; and

WHEREAS, Administrative grades such as WU should be issued in a consistent manner across the University; and

WHEREAS, Many faculty are unaware or unclear of policies regarding the WU grade; and
WHEREAS, The spirit of the WU grade is to provide a one-time mechanism for students to not be harshly penalized for not dropping a class by the drop deadline; and

WHEREAS, Some failing students are being advised by campus personnel to request that faculty issue or change failing grades to WU ; and

WHEREAS, Historically faculty have been reminded of grading policies each quarter in the form of an attachment to paper grade sheets; therefore be it

RESOLVED, That in addition to the policy outlined by EO 792, Cal Poly faculty only issue WU grades when a student has completed no more than an equivalent of $10 \%$ of the graded course material; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the grading policies, including detailed definitions of all grading symbols used, be disseminated quarterly to all faculty prior to grade entry and be displayed on each web page used for grade entry either directly or via a link; and be it further

RESOLVED, That these policies be implemented beginning Fall 2007.

Proposed by the Academic Senate Instruction Committee
May 1, 2007

## Background:

EO 792 defines the WU Grade as follows:
WU (Withdrawal Unauthorized). The symbol 'WU'shall be used where a student, who is enrolled on the census date, does not officially withdraw from a course butfails to complete it. Its most common use is in those instances where a student has not completed sufficient course assignments or participated in sufficient course activity to make it possible, in the opinion ofthe instructor, to report satisfactory or unsatisfactory completion ofthe class by use ofthe letter grade ( $A-F$ ). The instructor shall report the last known date ofattendance by the student. The symbol "WU" shall be identified as afailing grade in the transcript legend and shall be counted as units attempted but notpassed in computing the grade point average. In courses which are graded Credit/No Credit or in cases where the student has elected Credit/No Credit evaluation, use ofthe symbol "WU" is inappropriate and "NC" shall be used instead, The following statement shall appear in the campus catalog:

The symbol "WU" indicates that an enrolled student did not withdraw from the course and also failed to complete course requirements. It is used when, in the opinion ofthe instructor, completed assignments or course activities or both were insufficient to make normal evaluation ofacademic performance possible. For purposes ofgrade point average and progress point computation this symbol is equivalent to an "F."

Iflocal campus policy prescribes other instances where this symbol may be used, the foregoing statement shall be extended to cover such instances.

The full text ofEO 792 is available online at: http://www.calstate.edu/EO/EO-792.pdf.

Adopted:
ACADEMIC SENATE
of
CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY
San Luis Obispo, CA
AS- -07
RESOLUTION ON
CONSOLIDATION OF ACADEMIC SENATE UNITED STATES CULTURAL PLURALISM SUBCOMMITTEE AND CURRICULUM COMMITTEE

WHEREAS, The Academic Senate United States Cultural Pluralism (USCP) Subcommittee was established in 1992 as a standing subcommittee of the Curriculum Committee for the initial review of courses proposed to fulfill the Cultural Pluralism baccalaureate requirement (AS-396-92); and

WHEREAS, The USCP Subcommittee has in effect operated as a separate standing committee with its own membership separate from the Curriculum Committee; and

WHEREAS, The service culture of the University is best served by a committee structure that is compact and robust; and

WHEREAS, The curriculum process as it is currently defmed is responsible for developing recommendations regarding cultural pluralism as it relates to instruction; and

WHEREAS, The existing USCP standards are well defined and the number of new course proposals submitted to the USCP Subcommittee has dwindled (no new USCP course proposals were submitted during 2006-07); and

WHEREAS, According to the Academic Senate bylaws, the Curriculum Committee is responsible for developing recommendations regarding cultural pluralism as it relates to instruction; and

WHEREAS, The Curriculum Committee already reviews all courses proposed for USCP credit; and

WHEREAS, Review of existing USCP courses can be carried out in the context of normal program review; therefore be it

RESOLVED: That the Academic Senate United States Cultural Pluralism (USCP) Subcommittee be abolished; and be it further

RESOLVED: That the Academic Senate Curriculum Committee assume the responsibilities of the USCP Subcommittee effective immediately; and be it further

RESOLVED: That all references to the USCP Subcommittee be removed from the Academic Senate bylaws; and be it further

RESOLVED: That other University documents including websites shall be revised immediately to reflect this change.

Proposed by: Academic Senate Executive Committee Date: May 1, 2007

## Academic Policics

# UNITED STATES CULTURAL PLURALISM REQUIREMENT 

List of USCP courses
Proposing a USCP Course
Policy
Beginning with the 1994-97 Catalog, students are required to complete one USCP course. This requirement is fulfilled by courses in Major, Support, General Education (GE), or Free Elective category.

## Objectives

- To bring greater multicultural perspective to all Cal Poly students;
- to foster greater understanding of cultural and ethnic differences in the United States and in relation to a wider world;
- to help students appreciate differing cultural values and assumptions and the "relativity of otherness;"
- to nourish tolerance for and enjoyment of cultural diversity; and
- to encourage American commonalities and continuities amidst diversity. (Academic Senate Resolution AS-361-91 (PDF))

Criteria
United States Cultural Pluralism (USCP) courses fulfill the following criteria:

1. Emphasis on one or more of these four U.S. cultures: Asian American, African American, Hispanic American, American Indian;
2. Attention to general issues of gender, diversity, equity, ethnocentricity, and ethnicity; and the relationships to problems facing contemporary society, especially those resulting from racism, discrimination and cultural conflict;
3. Application of rigorous pedagogical, scholarly methods and standards as evidenced in substantive exams, reports, papers, and projects; and
4. Attention to critical thinking skills which will allow students to address cultural, racial, and gender issues in a sensitive and responsible manner and to evaluate their own attitudes and those of others.

Academic Senate Resolution AS-395-92/CC (PDF)

## Margaret Camuso

## Cc: Academic Senate Executive Committee <br> Subject: Distinguished Teaching Award <br> Attachments: DTA by year and summary.doc; Procedures.doc

------ Forwarded Message
From: Bruno Giberti [bgiberti@calpoly.edu](mailto:bgiberti@calpoly.edu)
Date: Thu, 09 Aug 2007 16:46:47-0700
To: Warren Baker [wbaker@calpoly.edu](mailto:wbaker@calpoly.edu), "wdurgin@calpoly.edu" [wdurgin@calpoly.edu](mailto:wdurgin@calpoly.edu)
Cc: Dan Howard-Greene [dhgreene@calpoly.edu](mailto:dhgreene@calpoly.edu), Margaret Camuso [mcamuso@calpoly.edu](mailto:mcamuso@calpoly.edu)
Conversation: Distinguished Teaching Award
Subject: Distinguished Teaching Award
Bill and Warren,
I'm attaching two documents, "Distinguished Teaching Award Committee" and "Distinguished Teaching
Award 1964-2006," which
detail the procedures of the committee and the history of the award.
Regarding the procedures of the committee, I would point out the following:

- Only award recipients can serve as members of the committee.
- The committee determines its own policies and procedures with no oversight.
- Only students and alumni may submit nominations.
- Only full-time tenured faculty members are eligible for the award.

This is in contrast to the Distinguished Scholarship Award Committee, which represents all colleges plus PCS; accepts nominations from faculty, students, and alumni; makes all full-time faculty members eligible.

Regarding the history of the award, I would point out the following:

- The absolute totals by college are disproportionate; CLA has received 54 awards out of 135 and CSM has received 36.
- CAED has not received an award since 1983.
- Comparing percentage of enrollment to percentage of awards, CLA had $17 \%$ of enrollment as of Fall 2006 and $40 \%$ of awards; CSM had $10 \%$ of enrollment and $27 \%$ of awards.

The percentage of awards should probably be compared to percentage of FTEF, but Steve Reins in Statistics has assured me that these numbers point to a significant trend.

At the very least, I would recommend that the Distinguished Teaching Award procedures be revised in the following manner:

- All colleges should be represented on the committee with a preference for award winners.
- The procedures should be subject to approval by the Executive Committee.
- Faculty, students, staff, and alumni should be able to make nominations.
- All full-time faculty members should be eligible.

In addition, I would recommend that the procedures include some statement of equitability, i.e. a desire to honor faculty members from different ranks and colleges.

Furthermore, I would suggest that there should be comparability between the procedures of the Distinguished Teaching Award and the Distinguished Research Award. My plan would be to charge

Michael Geringer, chair of the Distinguished Teaching Award Committee, and Don Choi, chair of the Distinguished Scholarship Award Committee, to work together on a revision

I would like your advice and support in addressing this matter. I have tried without success to persuade the Distinguished Teaching Award Committee to address these problems, and I am hoping that the members may be more amenable to a request from the President or the Provost.

Bruno

Professor Bruno Giberti, PhD
Associate Department Head, Architecture Department $8057562036 \mid 8057561500$ fax

Chair, Academic Senate
8057561258 | 8057567027
California Polytechnic State University One Grand Avenue
San Luis Obispo CA 93407
------ End of Forwarded Message

## Distinguished Teaching Award 1964-2006

| College | 64 | 65 | 66 | 67 | 68 | 69 | 70 | 71 | 72 | 73 | 74 | 75 | 76 |  | 78 | 79 | 80 | 81 | 82 | 83 | 84 | 85 | 64-85 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAED |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 83 |  |  | 5 |
| CAFES |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| CENG | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 9 |
| CLA |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 2 | 3 |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 | 1 | 21 |
| CSM | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 3 |  | 3 | 2 |  | 3 |  | 1 | 2 | 24 |
| OCOS |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| OTHER |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
|  | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 68 |


| Colleae | 86 | 87 | 88 | 89 | 90 | 91 | 92 | 93 | 94 | 95 | 96 | 97 | 98 | 99 | 00 | 01 | 02 | 03 | 04 | 05 | 06 | 07 | 86-06 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 5 |
| CAFES |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 6 | 12 |
| CENG | 1 |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 8 | 1* |
| CLA | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 2 | 2 | , |  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 33 | 54 |
| CSM |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |  |  | 1 | 12 | 36 |
| OCOS |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | - | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 6 |
| OTHER | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 5 |
|  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 67 | 135 |


| S |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| College | Enrollment <br> Fall 2006 | \% <br> Enrollment | Awards <br> (Including 06-07) | \% Awards |  |  |
| CAED | 1,965 | 10 | 5 | 4 |  |  |
| CAFES | 3,811 | 20 | 12 | 9 |  |  |
| CENG | 4,997 | 27 | 17 | 12 |  |  |
| CLA | 3,130 | 17 | 54 | 40 |  |  |
| CSM | 1,932 | 10 | 36 | 27 |  |  |
| OCOS | 2,572 | 14 | 6 | 4 |  |  |
| OTHER | 335 | 2 | 5 | 4 |  |  |
| Total | 18,722 | $100 \%$ | 135 | $100 \%$ |  |  |

# Distinguished Teaching Award Committee 

Type of Committee: Academic Senate Standing Committee
Membership: The Distinguished Teaching Award (DTA) Committee will be composed of five General Faculty members from different colleges, when possible, and two students. The faculty members will be appointed by the Chair of the Academic Senate with the approval of the Executive Committee. These faculty members will be award recipients and will serve two-year staggered terms. Colleges that are not represented during a term of membership will be rotated onto the committee when a member needs to be replaced.

ASI Requirements: Two student representatives with at least junior standing, who are carrying at least seven quarter units, have completed at least three consecutive quarters and thirty-six quarter units at Cal Poly, and have a Cal Poly grade point average of at least 3.0.

Responsibilities: The DTA Committee will conduct the selection process and determine the policies and procedures to be used for judging potential candidates for the award. Nominations will be received during the fall quarter, and final selection will be made no later than the sixth week of spring quarter.

DTA Nomination and Award Criteria:
Students or alumni may submit one or more nominations, which include the professor's name, department, and a supporting statement containing evidence of merit based upon the following criteria:

- Techniques that show excellence in teaching.
- Innovative instructional approaches.
- A high degree of interaction with students.
- High personal and professional standards.
- Well-organized activities, lectures, or labs.
- Teaching procedures that stimulate thinking and that contribute to student interest, enthusiasm, and achievement.
- A concern for students as individuals.
- Fair, rigorous methods for evaluating students' progress.
- Evidence of significant professional development as it relates to teaching excellence.

Please note: only full-time tenured faculty members who are teaching during the current academic year and who have not previously received the award are eligible for nomination.

## DTA Timeline and Process:

There is a six-week nomination period, from the end of October to the beginning of December. All nominations are electronic. All nominations are considered if the faculty member is eligible, even if some of the information is lacking. Promotion of the nomination process is done through the Cal Poly home page, computer lab notices, My Cal Poly, Mustang Daily ads, solicited Mustang Daily articles, e-mail to students, alumni, and award recipients, announcements sent to department offices and student clubs, a posting in the DU, a listing on the ASI electronic bulletin board, and a mass e-mail reminder to students in December.

All nominations are screened by the Academic Senate Office to ensure eligibility. Eligible nominations are made available to DTA Committee members during finals week of fall quarter. Committee members review all nomination materials, and then the committee meets on Friday afternoon of the first week of winter quarter to select finalists and alternates. Letters (electronic and hard copy) are sent to finalists immediately after the meeting. Receipt by the Academic Senate Office of schedules and other requested winter quarter materials from finalists is expected by the end of January, and these materials are repacked for committee members within a week. Another collection of spring quarter materials is completed by the beginning of April and repacked for committee members within two days.

Visitation of finalists' classes commences at the beginning of the fifth week of winter quarter, and visitations continue through the end of the fifth week of spring quarter. It is recommended that each DTA Committee member visit each nominee at least once per quarter per course preparation, for at least one hour per visit.

The DTA Committee meets at the end of the fifth week of spring quarter to discuss results of the visitation process and to select proposed award winners (usually three). The names of recommended award winners are forwarded to President Baker no later than the sixth week of spring quarter. After approval by the President, letters are sent to winners and to other finalists.

| Year | Name | $\begin{array}{r} -18- \\ \text { Department } \end{array}$ | College | Emeritus | Currently Full-time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997-98 | Culver, John | Political Science | Liberal Arts | Yes | No |
| 1997-98 | DeNatale, Jay | Civil \& Environmental Eng. | Engineering | No | Yes |
| 1997-98 | Henry, David | Speech | Liberal Arts | No | No |
| 1998-99 | Frayne, Colette | Global Strategy \& Law | Business | No | Yes |
| 1998-99 | MacCurdy, Carol | English | Liberal Arts | No | Yes |
| 1998-99 | Myers, Leonard | Computer Science | Engineering | Yes | No |
| 1999-00 | Geringer, Michael | Global Strategy \& Law | Business | No | Yes |
| 1999-00 | Hallock, Brent | Soil Science | Agriculture | No | Yes |
| 1999-00 | Staley, Clint | Computer Science | Engineering | No | Yes |
| 2000-01 | Bergman, Sky | Art and Design | Liberal Arts | No | Yes |
| 2000-01 | Doub, Phillip | Food Science \& Nutrition | Agriculture | No | Yes |
| 2000-01 | Martinez, William | Modem Languages \& Literature | Liberal Arts | No | Yes |
| 2001-02 | Clark, Kevin | English | Liberal Arts | No | Yes |
| 2001-02 | McLamore, Alyson | Music | Liberal Arts | No | Yes |
| 2001-02 | Zohns, Mark | BioResource \& Ag Engineering | Agriculture | No | Yes |
| 2002-03 | De Jong, Alvin | Biological Science | Science \& Math | No | Yes |
| 2002-03 | Duffy, Bernard | Speech Communication | Liberal Arts | No | Yes |
| 2002-03 | Vanasupa, Linda | Materials Engineering | Engineering | No | Yes |
| 2003-04 | Moelter, Matt | Physics | Science \& Math | No | Yes |
| 2003-04 | Smidt, Bob | Statistics | Science \& Math | No | Yes |
| 2003-04 | Van Draanen, Nanine | Chemistry \& Biochemistry | Science \& Math | No | Yes |
| 2004-05 | DePiero, Fred | Electrical Engineering | Engineering | No | Yes |
| 2004-05 | Hampsey, John | English | Liberal Arts | No | Yes |
| 2004-05 | Headrick, David | Hort \& Crop Science | Agriculture | No | Yes |
| 2005-06 | Nelson, Yarrow | Civil \& Environmental Engineering | CENG | No | Yes |
| 2005-06 | Armstrong, Mary | English and Women's Studies | CLA | No | Yes |
| 2005-06 | Miller, Michael | Art \& Design | CLA | No | Yes |
| 2006-07 | Fitzhenry, William | English | CLA | No | Yes |
| 2006-07 | Keeling, Elena | Biological Sciences | CSM | No | Yes |
| 2006-07 | Ryujin, Donald | Psychology | CLA | No | Yes |

