

ACADEMIC SENATE – General Education Governance Board

Winer 2021

Due: Friday, March 19, 2021

MEMBERS	
Name	College/Unit
Bailey, Helen	Admin
Fernflores, Rachel	CLA
Frame, Sam	CSM
Jasbinsek, John	CSM
Laver, Gary (CH)	CLA
Malama, Bwalya (LV Fall 2019)	CAFES
Morris, Andrew	Admin
Nico, Phillip	CENG
Park, Samuel	ASI
Stegner, Dustin	CLA
VACANT	CAED
VACANT	OCOB
VACANT	PCS

CHARGES		
Charge	Complete?	Status/Notes
Submit revised GE PLO's. AY 2020-21	<input type="checkbox"/>	The Board has had important discussions on the place of GE PLOs. Our position is that, while they have a place in the long-term program assessment process, they are not needed in the Board's review of proposals. GE guidelines (ERs and COs) are far more detailed than any PLOs could ever be, and adding PLOs to the proposal/recertification process would add work without benefit. However, as GE is a distinct academic program, the Board wishes to produce PLOs that convey (along with our newly revised mission statement) the aspirations of GE. We will revisit them in the spring.
Continue with the GE recertification process. AY 2020-21	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	With the exception of a handful of rolled-back proposals yet to be returned, the Board has completed its work on the recertification of courses in Areas A and B. Among this handful we include two proposals rejected for GE by the Board. One of these is a new proposal in Area A3, the other a recertification of a course (once in Cal Poly's old Area F) for Upper-Division B. These are the only two proposals to which the Board applied its recently re-established ability to reject a proposal. In turn, both of these were

		<p>appealed to the Academic Senate's Curriculum Appeals Committee (CAC). At the time of this report, the CAC had yet to return its decisions.</p> <p>Turning to the recertification review of proposals from Lower-Division Areas C, D & E, the Board (along with Academic Programs & Planning, the Registrar's Office, and ASCC) issued its final due-date reminder letters to program faculty in February. GEGB's review will begin in the fall.</p>
Implement GE Area F (may include coordination and collaboration with the CSU/CO, ASCSU and CES on AB-1460 deployment). AY 2020-21	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p>President Armstrong approved the two resolutions regarding the new Area F in Ethnic Studies: AS-913-21 creating Area F within our GE templates (written by the GEGB), and AS-914-21 establishing the curricular guidelines for the new Area (written by the Cal Poly Ethnic Studies Requirement Curriculum Sub Committee and co-sponsored by the GEGB). From the issuance of the Chancellor's Executive Order on December 3 to the President's approval of these two resolutions on March 12, it has been a swift and cooperative process to implement the new Area F. We expect to review the initial set of proposals for Area F courses during Spring Quarter.</p>
Review curriculum proposals: both catalog cycle and continuous course review proposals. Ongoing	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>This has moved apace and has been, with the exception of the Area A3 rejection and appeal described earlier, an uneventful process.</p>
Explore "course renewal" cycle (in collaboration with Curriculum Committee). Ongoing	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Discussions on this will begin in spring. It is clear that the current changes within GE are also a pilot form of course renewal. Every GE course is being reevaluated according the new template and guidelines—the first time this has occurred in twenty years. It is also clear that future course-renewal will not proceed on so long a cycle. With this in mind, the GEGB will be meeting in the spring with Michael Nguyen, Director of Academic Assessment, for preliminary discussions on the form of ongoing GE assessment and the role of the GEGB.</p>

NOTES:

We continue to work with International Programs on study-abroad courses as needed. Over winter break this involved the review of nearly 800 pre-approvals.