Date: 27 March 2014 To: Steve Rein, Chair, Academic Senate

From: Samuel Frame, Chair, Budget and Long Range Planning, Academic Senate

Subject: Budget and Long Range Planning Quarterly Report, Winter 2014

The Budget and Long Range Planning Committee (BLRP) had three meetings during the Winter 2014 quarter. The meetings took place on Friday 24 January, Friday 14 February, and Friday 07 March. The 14 February meeting was a presentation given by Joel Neel about Cal Poly's Master Plan (Stan Nosek and Provost Enz Finken were also in attendance). The below summarizes BLRP's work during the Winter 2014 quarter.

• BLRP discussed the results of the initial DIALOGR survey, and the results of the survey are included in this report. It is clear to BLRP that faculty are interested in the budget allocation process and decision-making criteria. As a result, a second DIALOGR survey question has been established.

The Academic Senate Budget and Long-Range Planning Committee (BLRP) seeks your thoughts and suggestions on University budget issues. Our previous topic suggests faculty are interested in the budget allocation and decision-making processes. BLRP needs to know what specific aspects of process are of interest, such as specific decision-making rules/criteria currently in use; and how you want budget decision processes to be shared with faculty.

Thus far, there has only been one response to the new survey question. During the Spring 2014 quarter, BLRP will discuss the best way to summarize the results of the DIALOGR surveys, and provide this information to administration (in response to the Campus Conversation about what faculty want to know about the budget).

- During the 07 March BLRP meeting, BLRP discussed the Master Planning presentation given three weeks prior. The following actions items were developed.
 - Request that the Master Planning presentation be given to the Senate
 - Inquire with Joel Neel about what questions the Master Planning team has for faculty

After the presentation to the Senate, BLRP will discuss the feedback from the Senate, summarize faculty input, and provide the faculty input to the Master Planning team.

• During the Spring 2014 quarter, the long-range planning group will be meeting to discuss the Strategic Plan on Friday 18 April. The other meetings are scheduled for Friday 09 May and Friday 30 May. Zimbra meeting invitations have already been distributed.

Dialogr

MEANING OF TRANSPARENCY:

The Academic Senate Budget and Long-Range Planning Committee (BLRP) seeks your thoughts and suggestions on University budget issues. To start, BLRP would like to know how you define 'transparency' of the budget and the budget allocation process. Relative to budgeting issues, what does 'transparency' mean to you?

Add your thoughts and vote on (rate) the suggestions of others.

	DIA	LOGR	TOP !	3				
Rank	Idea (hide comme	nts)				Profile	PostDate
1	allocat number modes make i	ed. Faculty a er of students of teaching,	ns a clear just and staff need (or scu's), pr and the dolla ricular chang	to see the rofessors, ro	elationship oms, hours n't have this	between in class, s, we can't	Carmen T	11/18/13
	Raters	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree		
	6	0%(0)	0%(0)	17% (1)	17% (1)	67% (4)		

Comments (1)

2

I agree 100% with the first sentence. However, the second sentence makes an assumption that all of those items/concepts listed are used as a part of the justification. I'm not sure that all of them currently do, nor am I of a mind that all of them should (at least, not in every case.) Regardless, the first and third sentences together (and alone) would succinctly state my thoughts on transparency relative to the budget allocation process.

Andrew

Kean

11/19/13

$\Leftrightarrow Pos$	ted by	Andy	McMal	ian N	lovember	, 25	, 2013	12:16	AM	Agree
-----------------------	--------	------	-------	-------	----------	------	--------	-------	----	-------

Mean Rating: 4.5 Standard Dev.: 0.76 Consensus: 78.62

funds and the mechanism that determined the distribution of funds. It means that the campus effectively identifies all revenue sources and where those revenue sources are spent. For me, budget transparency needs to occur for Cal Poly and all of its affiliated organizations (CPC, Cal Poly Housing, Foundation, etc.). For instance, right now out of state students are a growing revenue source for Cal Poly. But no-one communicates how those new revenue sources are being spent. At one time, the departments

Budget transparency means understanding both the distribution of

Rank	Idea	(hide comme	ents)				Profile	PostDate
		ttract the mos from this reved.						
	Rater	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree		
	7	0%(0)	14% (1)	14% (1)	0%(0)	71% (5)		
		Mean Rati	ng : 4.29 Sta	ndard Dev.:	1.16 Conse	ensus: 64.79		
	Comr	nents (0)						
	mone are m numb with o	nting of which y. There show oney-makers ers that allow dollars spent of the ever-incre oward admini	old, perhaps, Transparent us to compa on actual instanting asing shifting	be incentive cy also shou are dollars sp truction. Thi	s for depar ld include pent on adn s might be	tments that clear ninistration a way to	CW	11/26/13
	Rater	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree		
	4	0%(0)	0%(0)	25% (1)	25% (1)	50% (2)		
		Mean Ratio	ng: 4.25 Sta	ndard Dev.:	0.83 Conse	ensus: 76.51		
	Comn	nents (0)						
	Trans allow between	parency is no ing full discle en transcribing ag sense of it)	osure. Transp ng data and v	parency show	ıld be a bal	ance	Cesar Torres	11/15/13
	Rater	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree		
	9	11% (1)	0%(0)	22% (2)	11% (1)	56% (5)		
		Mean Ratio	ng: 4 Sta	ndard Dev.:	1.33 Conse	ensus: 57.74		
	Comn	nents (0)						
	I agre would transp	I agree with nearly everything others have said previously, and would just like to re-iterate that one of the most important parts of transparency is making available to appropriate stakeholders the rationale for why a budget decision was made.						11/20/13
						Strongly		

Rank	Idea	(hide	comments)

Profile

PostDate

8 **25%**(2)

0%(0)

13%(1)

13%(1) 5

50%(4)

41.60

. . (0)

Mean Rating: 3.63 Standard Dev.: 1.65 Consensus: 41.62

Comments (0)

All stakeholders should have full access to the decision criteria used in allocating funds.

JED

11/12/13

Raters Strongly
Disagree

10 0%(0)

Disagree **10%**(1)

Neutral **40%**(4)

30%(3)

Agree

Agree

Strongly

20%(2)

Mean Rating: 3.6 Standard Dev.: 0.92 Consensus: 73.6

Comments (1)

7

These decision criteria should be linked to the specific components of the University's strategic plan. Please note that a strategic framework does not have enough depth and specificity to make meaningful links.

> Posted by SPH November, 16, 2013 4:19 PM

Agree

I agree that all stakeholders should have full access to all information; however, I'm not entirely sure I am in full agreement with regard to how a 'stakeholder' is defined. Clearly, it would include faculty, given that our system is built on the concept of shared governance. However, I'm not entirely convinced (yet) that the general public should be given unfettered (or equivalent) access simply because the CSU is partially funded by tax dollars. I may be convinced otherwise; however, my gut feeling is that faculty (and especially faculty governing bodies) should be given complete and unfettered access to all budget items and all details. However, the general public would receive an easy-to-read/understand synopsis.

Andy McMahan

11/13/13

Raters $\frac{\text{Strongly}}{\text{Disagree}}$ Disagree Neutral Agree $\frac{\text{Strongly}}{\text{Agree}}$ 9 $\mathbf{0}\%(0)$ 22%(2) 33%(3) 11%(1) 33%(3)

Mean Rating: 3.56 Standard Dev.: 1.17 Consensus: 64.6

Comments (2)

To clarify, by "synopsis", I'm speaking of the type of information available at http://afd.calpoly.edu/budget/inforeports.asp. By "complete and unfettered access to all budget items and details", I'm speaking of details within the categories provided on that link, as well as documentation on how (and why) the decisions were made with regard to the amounts allocated to each area in the budget.

Posted by Andy McMahan November, 13, 2013 5:11 PM

Strongly Agree

Rank Idea (hide comments)

8

Profile

PostDate

Sorry -- I'm new to this system. This was supposed to be a comment on another, already present idea. And, it won't let me edit/delete it! So -- my apologies!

Posted by Andy McMahan November, 13, 2013 5:03 PM

Strongly Agree

Budget transparency means all stakeholders have full access to all information. In the case of the CSU, a public tax-supported institution, the stakeholders include the public at large. Therefore, Cal Poly should develop and maintain a public Web page that fully discloses all details of our institutional budget. The Web page should be organized for easy understandability by anyone. It should be hierarchical, showing income and expenditure at high levels but allowing anyone to drill down into as much detail as desired. See http://opengov.com/ for software and ideas how to accomplish budget transparency for a public institution.

William 11/08/13 Ahlgren

Raters	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
9	0%(0)	22% (2)	44%(4)	11% (1)	22% (2)

Mean Rating: 3.33 Standard Dev.: 1.05 Consensus: 68.77

Comments (2)

William, excellent ideas, thanks for expressing your thoughts, it is very important to increase the awareness of these ideas, I was not aware of them otherwise. Many budget related ideas are "buried" and it is good to bring them to our attention and to be able to debate their merits.

Posted by JED November, 12, 2013 10:41 AM

Neutral

A lot of the information you suggest is already available here: http://afd.calpoly.edu/budget/inforeports.asp

> Posted by Samuel November, 11, 2013 1:21 PM

Neutral

Financial statements use "plain English" reporting. It should not 9 take a degree in accounting or finance to understand a report.

Eric O 11/13/13

Raters	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
12	17% (2)	25% (3)	17% (2)	8% (1)	33%(4)

Mean Rating: 3.17 Standard Dev.: 1.52 Consensus: 49.07

Comments (1)

I very much agree with this sentiment. There should be a "plain English" version as well as the full "detailed version" for reference.

Posted by Andy McMahan November, 13, 2013 5:02 PM

Not Rated

10 There should be some way to normalize the reporting such as "per <u>Eric O</u>

11/13/13

Rank Idea (hide comme	ents)
-----------------------	-------

Profile

PostDate

student" or "per 4 year graduate."

Raters	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
8	13%(1)	25% (2)	50%(4)	0% (0)	13%(1)

Mean Rating: 2.75 Standard Dev.: 1.09 Consensus: 67.46

Comments (1)

11

Normalization is important, the parameters need to be carefully chosen to be able to determine the proper allocation for each department.

Posted by <u>Cole McDaniel</u> November, 16, 2013 7:10 PM

Not Rated

The definition of transparency is not the biggest issue we face and almost seems like a red herring. It is more important that we identify the most important issues that we would like to see transparent, e.g., who gets the next new hire and why that entity received the next hire. The problem with wanting transparency with everything all in "plain English" is that you will need an army of individuals to provide this. Given resource constraints of the university we need to settle on the most important areas that we would like to see transparency so that there is a level of trust between the administration and the faculty. We should focus on the most important issues in the budget and its process that we would like to see transparent.

SPH 11/17/13

Mean Rating: 2.71 Standard Dev.: 1.16 Consensus: 64.79

Comments (2)

I would agree with Andrew that the level of transparency that we need as a University would not need an army of individuals. The point I was trying to make was in regards to others who have posted that they would like to see transparency in everything in understandable and plain English. When you add the word everything into the qualifier of what you want to see, I would argue that you are going to need a large group of people to assimilate and then provide the information everyone would like to see. I agree that a predictable budgeting model would be helpful.

> Posted by SPH November, 19, 2013 9:15 PM

Strongly Agree

I disagree somewhat that it will take an army to provide transparency. Having a thoughtful funding model to determine distribution of funds is neither complicated, nor difficult to communicate. Our campus is odd that it does not have any sort of deterministic or predictable funding model for disbursement of money.

> Posted by Andrew Kean November, 19, 2013 10:14 AM

Disagree

Rank Idea (hide comments)ProfilePostDate12All stakeholders have full access to all information.JED11/12/13

Raters	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
6	33% (2)	50% (3)	17% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)

Mean Rating: 1.83 Standard Dev.: 0.69 Consensus: 81.02

Comments (1)

I agree that all stakeholders should have full access to all information; however, I'm not entirely sure I am in full agreement with regard to how a 'stakeholder' is defined. Clearly, it would include faculty, given that our system is built on the concept of shared governance. However, I'm not entirely convinced (yet) that the general public should be given unfettered (or equivalent) access simply because the CSU is partially funded by tax dollars. I may be convinced otherwise; however, my gut feeling is that faculty (and especially faculty governing bodies) should be given complete and unfettered access to all budget items and all details. However, the general public would receive an easy-to-read/understand synopsis. Posted by Andy McMahan November 13, 2013, 5:00 PM

> Posted by JED November, 14, 2013 6:11 AM

Disagree