

ACADEMIC SENATE – Curriculum Committee

Spring 2018

Due: Friday, June 15, 2018

MEMBERS	
Name	College/Unit
Olsen, Clare	CAED
Bieraugel, Mark	Admin
Bohr, Gregory	CLA
Fiegel, Gregg	CENG
Head, Carly	PCS
McCullough, Michael	CAFES
Metcalf, Lynn	OCOB
Olivas, Susan	Admin
Pedersen, Mary	Admin
Savage, Richard	Admin
Self, Brian (CH)	CENG
Tool, Katie	-----
Walker, John	CSM
Hensley, Denise	ASI
Haskell, Michael	ITS

CHARGES	
Charge	Status/Notes
Explore "course renewal" cycle (in tandem with GEGB).	A request has been submitted to ITS by the Registrar's office to have them program this effort. So far it has not been prioritized by their office. POC: Susan Olivas
Discuss double counting courses.	A resolution was submitted to the Academic Senate, but time ran out and it was not considered at the final Senate meeting. It will be resubmitted in the Fall.
Work with appropriate groups to develop criteria for GWR expansion and submit a resolution for approval.	Greg Bohr served as our representative on a group that worked on the resolution. The ASCC reviewed the resolution and provided input – the resolution will be submitted in Fall 2018.
Revise the existing draft of Policy on Blended Programs. Resolution due Fall 2018.	The ASCC discussed this charge and will be determining if we would recommend any changes to the past resolution. This charge is pending.
Ongoing review of curriculum proposals.	The status of proposals can be found at http://registrar.calpoly.edu/status-proposals . The full list for the 2017-2018 is appended to this report

NOTES:

Other areas of discussion include e-learning and X-courses (please see Meeting minutes from May 3, 2018)

The ASCC thought that it may be time for the Academic Senate to reconsider its policies on e-learning. The last resolution was approved in 2012, and we have since gathered a great deal of experience dealing with online and hybrid courses. We recommend that a new task force be created to develop updated policies, and that someone from the CTLT be involved in the task force.

There was discussion about the use of Selected Topics courses to “try out” new classes; this used to be done with X-courses. Once we allowed elective courses to be proposed at any time, we phased out X-courses (which required minimal approval and could be offered for up to two years). Further discussion is warranted after catalog review.