

Adopted: May 14, 1991

**ACADEMIC SENATE
OF
CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY
San Luis Obispo, California**

Background Statement: As one of a number of items affecting student progress, the current policy and practice for Academic Probation and Disqualification has undergone review by the Student Progress Committee and has been forwarded to the Academic Senate for further review and consideration.

**AS-359-91/IC
RESOLUTION ON
ACADEMIC PROBATION AND DISQUALIFICATION**

WHEREAS, The California State University policy governing Scholastic Probation and Disqualification is set forth in Title 5, Subchapter 4, Article 1, and in Executive Order No. 186, and

WHEREAS, University policies and procedures are reviewed periodically to ensure their conformity with state and system policies, and

WHEREAS, Policies governing probation and disqualification affect student progress through the university, a process of concern to Cal Poly, and

WHEREAS, The Cal Poly catalog statements concerning academic probation and disqualification do not reflect current practice within the university; therefore, be it

WHEREAS, That the attached statement concerning Academic Probation and Disqualification be adopted as university policy and be published in the university catalog.

Proposed By:
Academic Senate
Instruction Committee
March 13, 1991

Academic Probation and Disqualification

The quality of academic performance is considered in the determination of a student's eligibility to remain enrolled. An undergraduate student becomes subject to academic probation or disqualification under the conditions shown below.

I. Academic Probation:

- A. When the current term GPA drops below 2.0 (C) in any term, an undergraduate student is automatically placed on academic probation.
- B. When the student's quality points used to calculate the Cal Poly cumulative GPA are 6 or fewer quality points below 2.0 (C), an undergraduate student is automatically placed on academic probation.

II. Academic Disqualification:

- A. When the student has been on academic probation for two consecutive terms, the student is subject to disqualification.
- B. When the student's quality points used to calculate the Cal Poly cumulative GPA are 7 or more quality points below 2.0 (C), the undergraduate student is subject to disqualification. Such a student on academic probation shall be subject to disqualification:
 - 1. A freshman or sophomore student (less than 90 quarter units of college credit completed) with 22.5 or more quality points below a 2.00 (C).
 - 2. A junior student (90 to 134 quarter units of college credit completed) with 13.5 or more quality points below a 2.00 (C).
 - 3. A senior (135 or more quarter units of college credit completed) student with 9 or more quality points below a 2.00 (C).

A student who is placed on probation or who is subject to disqualification at the end of an enrollment period will be notified by a message on the grade report which is issued following the end of the term in which the student's performance fails to meet the prescribed conditions. In cases where a student ordinarily would be disqualified at the end of a term save for the impossibility of making timely notification, the student may be advised that the disqualification is to be effective at the end of the next term.

The lists of students eligible for probation and disqualification should be adjusted and consolidated. All determinations of students placed on the lists are made using the Cal Poly current term GPA or cumulative GPA.

List 1: Mandatory disqualification list:

Undergraduate students on Academic Probation are subject to disqualification when their quality points used to calculate the Cal Poly cumulative GPA fail below the levels specified:

A freshman or sophomore student (less than 90 quarter units of college credit completed) with 22.5 or more quality points below a 2.00 (C).

A junior student (90 to 134 quarter units of college credit completed) with 13.5 or more quality points below a 2.00 (C).

A senior (135 or more quarter units of college credit completed) student with 9 or more quality points below a 2.00 (C).

A hold would be placed on their registration.

List 2: Discretionary Disqualification:

Either students with a Cal Poly cumulative GPA above 2.0 (C) but a current term Cal Poly GPA below 2.0 (C) for the second consecutive term; or students with quality points used to calculate the Cal Poly cumulative GPA more than 6 quality points below 2.0 (C) but less than those required for mandatory disqualification.

List 3: Academic Probation:

Students with quality points used to calculate the Cal Poly cumulative GPA 6 or fewer quality points below a 2.0 (C); and "other" students with a Cal Poly cumulative GPA above 2.0 (C) and a current term GPA less than 2.0 (C) but not on academic probation.

State of California
Memorandum

To: James Murphy, Chair
Academic Senate

Date: May 30, 1991

File No.:

From: Warren J. Baker
President

Copies: R. Koob
J. Pieper
A. McDonald

Subject: ACADEMIC SENATE RESOLUTIONS, MAY 14, 1991

This will acknowledge your memo of May 14 with which you forwarded the resolutions adopted by the Academic Senate at its May 14 meeting. Resolutions AS-357-91/IC, dealing with the Academic Calendar Processing, and AS-358-91/C&BC, dealing with the Distinguished Teaching Awards Committee, are resolutions dealing with the manner in which the Academic Senate operates and need no further action on my part.

Resolutions AS-359-91/IC, dealing with Academic Probation and Disqualification; AS-361-91, dealing with U.S. Ethnic Pluralism Program; and AS-362, dealing with a Proposal to Establish an Agricultural Safety Institute, have been forwarded to Vice President for Academic Affairs Robert Koob for his assessment and recommendation.

AS-360-91, regarding a Resolution on Job Announcement Recommendation, has been forwarded to Vice President Koob, Affirmative Action Director Anna McDonald and Director of Personnel and Employee Relations Jan Pieper for review and recommendation.

We will respond to the Academic Senate regarding these resolutions as soon as the review has been completed.

State of California
Memorandum

To: Charles Andrews, Chair
Academic Senate

Date: July 11, 1991

File No.:

From: Warren J. Baker

Copies: R. Koob

Subject: ACADEMIC SENATE RESOLUTIONS AS-359-91, AS-361-91 AND AS-362-91

In my response to James Murphy on May 30, I indicated that the three subject resolutions adopted by the Academic Senate at its May 14 meeting were being forwarded to the Vice President for Academic Affairs for review and recommendation. Based upon that review, I am pleased to endorse and approve these three resolutions:

AS-359-91/IC	Resolution on Academic Probation and Disqualification
AS-361-91	Resolution on U.S. Ethnic Pluralism Program
AS-362-91	Resolution on Proposal to Establish the Agricultural Safety Institute

Memorandum



RECEIVED

JUL 12 1991

Date : July 11, 1991

To : Charles Andrews, Chair
Academic Senate

Academic Senate

File No.:

Copies : R. Koob

From : Warren J. Baker
President

Subject: **ACADEMIC SENATE RESOLUTIONS
AS-359-91, AS-361-91 AND AS-362-91**

In my response to James Murphy on May 30, I indicated that the three subject resolutions adopted by the Academic Senate at its May 14 meeting were being forwarded to the Vice President for Academic Affairs for review and recommendation. Based upon that review, I am pleased to endorse and approve these three resolutions:

- AS-359-91/IC Resolution on Academic Probation and Disqualification
- AS-361-91 Resolution on U.S. Ethnic Pluralism Program
- AS-362-91 Resolution on Proposal to Establish the Agricultural Safety Institute